

Journal of Contemporary International Relations and Diplomacy (JCIRD)

Vol. 6, No. 2, 2025, pages 112-128, Doi: <https://doi.org/10.53982/jcird.2025.0602.08-j>

Published by the Department of International
Relations and Diplomacy, Afe Babalola
University, Ado-Ekiti (ABUAD), Nigeria
E-mail: jcirdabuad@gmail.com

ISSN: 2714-3414
E-ISSN: 2971-6470



This work is licensed under a
Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International

Nigeria-Japan Economic Relations, 1999–2023

Faruq Idowu BOGE¹
Hawah Oluwaseun OLAWOYIN²

Abstract

Throughout modern international relations, partnerships between industrialized and developing countries often reflect deep structural imbalances in trade, investment, and cooperation. This pattern is evident in the economic relationship between Nigeria and Japan since the return of democratic governance in Nigeria in 1999. Despite stable diplomatic ties, official visits, and longstanding development assistance, economic interactions between the two countries have remained limited in scope and impact. This study examines the developments in the Nigeria-Japan economic relations between 1999 and 2023, with particular attention to trade, investment, and development aids. Employing a historical approach to data collation and interpretation; and subjecting them to analyses under the realist, liberalist, comparative cost advantage, and the dependency theories, the study identifies the factors that have contributed to the stagnant nature of the partnership. These include Japan's cautious investment policy in sub-Saharan Africa and Nigeria's internal economic and institutional challenges. Anchoring on the positions from dependency theory, the study argues that without significant adjustments on both sides, particularly in addressing structural limitations, the relationship will continue to fall short of its potential. It recommends greater policy clarity, economic reform, and mutual strategic alignment to enhance future cooperation.

Keywords: Nigeria, Japan, Development Cooperation, Trade and Investment, Bilateral Relations
Short-Bios

¹Dr. Faruq Idowu BOGE lectures at the Department of History and International Studies, Lagos State University, Nigeria. His research interests cover themes and sub-themes in Economic and Social History, International Economic Relations, and Development Studies. He has published scores of research papers in peer reviewed national and international journal outlets. ORCID: 0000-0002-9808-1608

²Hawah Oluwaseun OLAWOYIN is a graduate of History and International from Lagos State University, Lagos, Nigeria. Her research focuses on Nigeria's bilateral relations and multilateral relations, encompassing trade, investment, development cooperation, and policy frameworks.

Introduction

The economic engagement between Nigeria and Japan reflects a broader narrative of interaction between industrialized nations and developing economies. While Japan stands as a global industrial power with an advanced manufacturing sector, Nigeria often called the "Giant of Africa" continues to grapple with structural development challenges, despite its demographic weight and vast natural resources. Since Nigeria gained independence in 1960 and formally established diplomatic relations with Japan, both countries have maintained a largely cordial relationship, punctuated by official visits, modest trade growth, and development assistance (Adeleke, 2010). Yet, beneath this diplomatic surface lies a pattern of economic interaction that has remained persistently imbalanced and not sufficiently analysed (Adeleke, 2010). Before independence, Nigeria's contact with Japan was minimal, largely due to geographic distance and Japan's regional imperial ambitions, which were concentrated in East and Southeast Asia. During the height of Japanese imperial expansion from the 1930s to 1945, attention was focused on the annexation of Korea, parts of China, and the Pacific Islands, while African territories remained in the hands of European colonial powers (Ogunremi, 2002). Japan's absence from Africa during the colonial period contributed to the relatively late development of its engagement with Nigeria (Owoeye, 1986). The British imperial economic policies in Nigeria and other colonies were also disincentives to Japanese economic penetration in Nigeria (Ogunremi, 2002). Deliberately, the British adopted protectionist policies such as the quota system to prevent rival imperial economies, particularly Japan and Germany, from making noticeable interests in the country's economy. Against the odds, sizable amount of Japanese industrial products were still imported into Nigeria, particularly from the 1930s. However, with decolonisation and the deepening of globalisation as well as the search for new markets and energy sources in the post-war era, Japan gradually expanded its interest in Africa, including Nigeria (Mavropoulos, 2018).

From the early post-independence years, trade between both countries was shaped by mutual economic needs. Japan's dependency on foreign energy sources meant that it looked to oil-rich countries such as Nigeria for crude petroleum, liquefied natural gas, and agricultural commodities like sesame and cocoa. In return, Nigeria became a consistent consumer of Japanese automobiles, electronics, tyres, and industrial machinery. While this exchange created a viable trade link, the structure of the trade remained unequal. Japan exported value-added finished goods, while Nigeria continued to export unprocessed or semi-processed raw materials (Awugo, 2019). Notwithstanding its technological advancement and strong private sector, Japan has historically maintained a cautious approach to foreign direct investment (FDI) in Africa. This caution is particularly visible in Nigeria, where political instability, infrastructural deficiencies, policy inconsistency, and corruption have created a hostile investment environment. As of the early 2020s, Japan's investment footprint in Nigeria lags far behind those of China, the United States, and even emerging Asian economies such as India (JETRO, 2021–2024). This disparity persists despite Nigeria's large consumer market and strategic importance in West Africa. The relationship has once been described as cordial but shallow, pointing out that while Japan continues to import

key commodities from Nigeria, it has yet to show strong interest in long-term capital investment or technology transfer (Adeleke, 2010). In this regard, Nigeria's young population and growing middle class offer immense potential for Japanese businesses, but that poor economic coordination and limited diplomatic urgency have stunted deeper cooperation.

Japan's institutional engagement with Africa grew substantially in the 1990s with the launch of the Tokyo International Conference on African Development (TICAD). Through this platform, Japan positioned itself as a development partner rather than a traditional donor, offering technical assistance, grant aid, and capacity-building programmes. Nigeria has been one of the beneficiaries of this outreach, receiving support in areas such as education, health, and agriculture. However, questions remain about the depth and sustainability of these interventions, and whether they have significantly contributed to Nigeria's structural transformation (IPA, 2003). In the light of global economic shifts, intensified South–South cooperation, and Nigeria's urgent need to diversify its economy, a critical reassessment of Nigeria–Japan economic relations has become imperative. This paper therefore examines the historical evolution, trade dynamics, investment patterns, and development cooperation between the two countries from 1999 to 2023. It aims to identify the factors that have limited the growth of this bilateral relationship and explore how both nations might redefine their engagement for mutual benefit.

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

Understanding Nigeria–Japan economic relations requires an engagement with theories that explain how and why nations interact economically. While no single theory fully captures the complexity of their relationship, certain frameworks such as Realism, Liberalism, Dependency Theory, and the Theory of Comparative Advantage offer useful perspectives. Realism, as developed by scholars like Hans Morgenthau (1948), assumes that states are rational actors pursuing their national interests in a competitive international system. From this lens, Japan's economic interest in Nigeria is a reflection of its quest for energy security and stable access to raw materials. Japan's heavy dependence on external sources for oil, gas, and agricultural commodities positions Nigeria as a strategic partner (Ampiah, 2006). Conversely, Nigeria engages Japan to secure advanced technology, foreign investment, and infrastructure support. However, the imbalance in bargaining power results in a skewed relationship, with Japan maintaining a superior position due to its industrial might and global economic influence.

In contrast, Liberalism holds a more cooperative view of international relations. The liberal tradition, popularized by Keohane and Nye (1977), argues that states, international organizations, and even private firms can collaborate for mutual gain through shared norms, interdependence, and institutional frameworks. Japan and Nigeria's participation in platforms like the Tokyo International Conference on African Development (TICAD) and other cooperation through the JETRO and JICA platforms reflect liberal principles of cooperation through aid, trade, and development diplomacy. Regardless of persistent trade imbalances, this framework explains

Japan's grant-aid programmes, technical training initiatives, and concessional loans to Nigeria in sectors such as agriculture, health, and rural electrification (Fajana, 1976).

A more critical and structural approach is offered by Dependency Theory. Scholars such as Andre Gunder Frank and Samir Amin argue that global capitalism maintains a structural imbalance between industrialized 'core' states and raw-material-exporting 'peripheral' ones (Frank, 1976). Nigeria–Japan relations, as observed by Chife (1992), reveal classic symptoms of dependency. Nigeria exports crude oil, sesame seeds, and other unprocessed commodities, while Japan exports finished industrial goods, electronics, and automobiles. This arrangement reinforces Nigeria's peripheral status and limits its economic transformation. The reliance on Japanese imports and the minimal presence of Nigerian products in Japanese markets exemplify the unequal distribution of benefits.

Rooted in classical economic thought, the theory of Comparative Advantage (formulated by David Ricardo in 1817) asserts that nations benefit from trade when they specialize in producing goods for which they have the lowest opportunity cost. Nigeria, with its vast crude oil reserves and fertile agricultural zones, enjoys a comparative advantage in the export of raw commodities. Japan, on the other hand, possesses a strong edge in technological innovation, manufacturing, and automation. The trade pattern between both nations reflects this asymmetry: Nigeria supplies raw materials, while Japan supplies machinery, vehicles, and electronics. A continuation of the basic elements of the colonial economy. Dixit and Norman (1980) and Maneschi (1998) note that comparative advantage theory assumes ideal free trade conditions and disregards structural inequalities. In the real world, however, nations like Nigeria face domestic inefficiencies, policy inconsistencies, and infrastructure deficits that undermine their ability to compete effectively. Moreover, Graham (1965) highlighted that larger economies often cannot specialize completely, especially when trading with smaller, less industrialized partners. This further complicates the benefits that might otherwise accrue to developing countries within a comparative advantage model.

Bilateral economic relations involve deliberate state-to-state interactions focused on trade, investment, aid, and strategic partnerships. These relationships are shaped not just by market forces but by historical experiences, institutional arrangements, and political will. In the Nigeria–Japan context, trade has dominated their engagements since the early 1960s. According to Bukarambe (1986), Japan has historically maintained a transactional approach, focusing narrowly on economic exchange with minimal political entanglement. Unlike nations such as China or the United States, Japan's diplomatic posture in Nigeria has remained low-key, defined more by private sector-driven trade than active political diplomacy. Economic diplomacy plays a central role in this relationship. Bayne and Woolcock (2007) argue that states use economic instruments such as aid, investment incentives, and trade negotiations to pursue foreign policy goals. Japan's aid through JICA, its technical cooperation, and its trade missions via JETRO reflect its attempt to foster long-term engagement without direct political confrontation. Yet, as Adeleke (1986) and Owoeye (1986)

point out, Nigeria's structural economic limitations and policy volatility have restricted its ability to benefit fully from this partnership. The export-import dynamic has remained largely in favour of Japan, with Nigeria's raw material exports often being replaced by expensive imports of finished goods. Despite this, there are complementarities between the two economies. Japan requires energy and raw materials; Nigeria requires technology, investment, and manufacturing capacity. However, as Bukarambe (1986) observes, even during the height of Nigeria's oil boom, Japan was reluctant to deepen ties beyond transactional trade. This historical hesitancy, coupled with Nigeria's internal economic weaknesses, explains the lack of robust, equitable development outcomes from the relationship.

Nigeria's economic engagement with Japan can be traced to the post-independence period, when diplomatic ties were officially established in 1960. Japan's early indifference to Africa was partly due to its non-colonial history and lack of direct strategic interest on the continent. However, the oil shocks of the 1970s forced Japan to seek new and stable sources of energy, placing Nigeria on its economic radar. The imbalance in trade was evident by the late 1970s. As reported in Japan and Africa (Ogunremi, 1979), Japan's trade surplus with Nigeria reached unprecedented levels, with Nigeria's exports declining while its imports from Japan (especially automobiles, motorcycles, and consumer electronics) skyrocketed. In 1978 alone, Japan's exports to Nigeria were 127 times its imports (Ogunremi, 1979). This trade imbalance continues into the present. While Japan's presence in Nigeria includes companies such as Toyota and investment in energy infrastructure, its political engagement remains thin. Meanwhile, Nigeria struggles with governance issues, infrastructure gaps, and inconsistent policy environments that hinder foreign direct investment. Platforms like TICAD, though well-intentioned, have yet to yield transformative outcomes.

Historical Development of Nigeria–Japan Economic Relations

The economic relationship between Nigeria and Japan, while often overlooked in broader analyses of global economic history, reveals a complex narrative shaped by colonial legacies, shifting geopolitical alignments, and the evolving structures of both domestic and international trade. The earliest traceable link between the two countries dates back to 1914 the year Nigeria was amalgamated under British rule when Japanese goods began entering the Nigerian market in minute quantities despite the considerable geographic and imperial barriers separating both nations (Olukotu, 2007). These early exchanges, although modest, represented a pivotal moment in Japan's outward commercial ambitions and foreshadowed a future of asymmetric but persistent economic engagement. Throughout the 1920s and early 1930s, Japan's economic interaction with Nigeria expanded, primarily through the export of low-cost, high-demand consumer goods such as textiles, umbrellas, rice, and household items. The scale of this engagement remained marginal relative to Nigeria's total imports, with Japan's exports amounting to just £131 in 1914, compared to total Nigerian imports valued at £6.9 million (Olukotu, 2007). Nonetheless, by 1932, Japanese

exports had risen dramatically to £121,678, marking the beginning of a sustained commercial foothold (Ogunremi, 1992).

This growth occurred despite Japan's lack of formal colonial ties to Africa, underlining the potency of price competitiveness and consumer responsiveness in Japan's export strategy. The economic structure of these early export (focused on cotton textiles, rice, and other light industrial goods) underscored Japan's status as a rising industrial power. Contrary to the conventional view that Japan's industrial transformation only accelerated after World War II, trade data from this period indicate that Japan had already entered the ranks of semi-industrialized nations by the interwar years (Lockwood, 1954). The inclusion of rice among its exports to Nigeria, for instance, points to an agrarian surplus made possible by its imperial acquisitions in Korea and Taiwan during the Meiji and Taisho eras (Peattie, 1954). As Japanese textiles became increasingly dominant in Nigerian markets by the mid-1930s, British colonial authorities grew wary of Japan's growing influence. This culminated in a series of import restrictions, including quotas and outright bans on specific textile imports, introduced under pressure from British manufacturers seeking to safeguard their commercial interests in the colonies (Olukoju, 2007). Despite these barriers, Japan's penetration of the Nigerian market during the late colonial period remained resilient. Although Japanese textile exports declined from over 11 million square yards in 1933 to 3.6 million in 1938 due to British restrictions, Nigerian consumers and traders often voiced clear preferences for Japanese goods, citing their affordability and suitability to local needs (Olukoju, 2007). The onset of World War II disrupted these exchanges entirely, as wartime restrictions and the freezing of hard currency transactions rendered trade between the two countries virtually impossible between 1943 and 1946. Nonetheless, Japan's post-war recovery was swift. By 1949, exports to Nigeria had resumed and reached £7.4 million, increasing further to £11.3 million by 1952 (Ogunremi, 2002).

Following the conclusion of the Second World War, Japan rapidly re-engaged with the Nigerian market. In the context of post-war economic recovery and reindustrialization, Japan strategically intensified its export activities to developing regions, particularly West Africa. By the early 1950s, Japanese consumer goods such as textiles, enamelware, plastic products, and electrical appliances began to reappear in Nigerian markets at competitive prices. In 1952 alone, Nigerian imports from Japan were valued at over £11 million, marking a remarkable resurgence of Japanese economic presence (Ogunremi, 2002). This development occurred despite Nigeria still being a British colony at the time. British economic policies attempted to restrict the penetration of Japanese goods, but they proved largely ineffective in curbing demand. Nigerian consumers demonstrated a strong preference for Japanese products due to their affordability, practicality, and increasing reliability compared to British alternatives.

The attainment of Nigerian independence in 1960 introduced new dynamics to the Japan-Nigeria relationship. Although formal diplomatic ties had not yet been solidified, Japan had already cultivated strong trade relations through private merchants and corporate networks.

Following independence, the establishment of Japan's consulate in Lagos in the mid-1960s enabled a more structured and strategic engagement between the two nations (Owoeye, 1986). During this period, Japan expanded its exports to include industrial machinery, automobiles, radios, and other manufactured goods, indicating a shift from consumer-centric trade to capital-intensive exchange. This era also witnessed the early stages of technological exchange and discussions surrounding Japanese investment in Nigeria's nascent industrial sector. A critical distinction in Japan's approach was its emphasis on commercial pragmatism rather than political entanglement. Unlike Britain, whose economic activities were inseparable from its colonial governance structures, Japan positioned itself as a neutral economic partner focused on mutual development (Adebayo, 2004). This stance resonated with Nigeria's post-independence leadership, which was eager to diversify its foreign partnerships beyond the former colonial power. By 1969, Nigeria had emerged as Japan's second-largest trading partner in sub-Saharan Africa, trailing only South Africa. This was achieved not solely because of oil exports still in their early stages but due to Japan's consistency, adaptability, and a trade philosophy that respected local market conditions (JICA, 2008).

The 1970s marked a significant shift in Nigeria-Japan economic relations, largely influenced by Nigeria's newfound status as a major oil-exporting country. Following the end of the Nigerian Civil War in 1970, the country embarked on ambitious national reconstruction and economic expansion policies under the military regimes of Yakubu Gowon and later Murtala Mohammed and Olusegun Obasanjo. Central to these policies was the monetization of oil revenues and a determined push for industrialization and import substitution (Osaghae, 1998). Japan, which lacked domestic energy resources, became increasingly reliant on oil imports from the Middle East and emerging suppliers such as Nigeria. By the mid-1970s, Nigeria had become one of Japan's key oil trading partners in sub-Saharan Africa. In 1975 alone, Nigeria supplied approximately 11% of Japan's total oil imports from the region (JICA, 2008). This energy dependency incentivized Japan to strengthen diplomatic and commercial ties, culminating in the formal establishment of Japan's embassy in Lagos in 1964 and reciprocal efforts by Nigeria to expand its diplomatic footprint in Tokyo. Beyond oil, the decade also witnessed renewed efforts to deepen bilateral economic cooperation in areas of industrial development and technology transfer. Japan offered development assistance, training opportunities, and feasibility studies on sectors such as fertilizer production, steel, and automobile assembly (Owoeye, 1986). However, despite these engagements, Japan remained primarily an exporter of finished goods, while Nigeria continued to rely heavily on oil exports, reinforcing the asymmetrical nature of the trade relationship.

The civil war (1967–1970) had temporarily disrupted commercial activities, with some Japanese companies scaling back their operations due to uncertainty. Nevertheless, the post-war recovery and oil boom rekindled foreign investor interest, including from Japanese firms such as Toyota, Mitsubishi, and Hitachi, which began establishing representative offices and partnerships with Nigerian distributors (Akinrinade and Owoeye, 2003). Japan's strategy remained commercially driven, avoiding overt political alignment, especially during Nigeria's volatile

military regimes. This cautious neutrality enabled Japanese businesses to navigate political turbulence while maintaining long-term market access. Moreover, Japan's approach contrasted with that of the United States and the Soviet Union, both of whom viewed Nigeria through the prism of Cold War geopolitics (Adebayo, 2004). Although there were early discussions about joint ventures and industrial projects, the lack of infrastructure, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and policy inconsistencies in Nigeria often stalled these initiatives. Nevertheless, the 1970s laid the foundation for deeper trade interdependence, driven primarily by energy interests and expanding consumer markets.

The 1980s introduced a period of considerable economic turmoil in Nigeria, marked by the collapse of global oil prices, a mounting debt burden, and the inefficiencies of state-led industrialization. These internal crises significantly impacted Nigeria's foreign trade, including its economic relations with Japan. As Nigeria's import capacity declined due to foreign exchange shortages and macroeconomic instability, Japanese exports to the country also saw a notable decline (World Bank, 1994). The Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP), introduced by the Babangida regime in 1986 with the support of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, sought to liberalize Nigeria's economy by devaluing the naira, reducing public spending, and promoting privatization. Although intended to revive the economy, the SAP led to inflation, widespread hardship, and industrial decline, further reducing Nigeria's attractiveness as a destination for Japanese investment (Olukoshi, 1993). Japan, known for its cautious foreign investment strategy, responded conservatively. Many Japanese firms scaled back operations or adopted a wait-and-see approach, redirecting their African interests toward more stable economies like Kenya, South Africa, and Egypt (JICA, 2008). Nigeria's reputation for corruption, policy inconsistency, and infrastructural decay discouraged long-term commitments from Japanese multinational corporations.

Nonetheless, Japan continued to engage Nigeria diplomatically and developmentally. Japanese Official Development Assistance (ODA) increased modestly during this period, particularly in technical cooperation, human capital development, and small-scale infrastructure projects. Through the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), several Nigerian professionals received training in Japan, primarily in areas such as agriculture, public health, and engineering (JICA, 1990). The 1980s also witnessed Nigeria's increased participation in multilateral platforms like the Tokyo International Conference on African Development (TICAD), which Japan launched to redefine its Africa policy in the post–Cold War era. Though the economic downturn limited bilateral trade volumes, diplomatic channels remained open, and both countries maintained mutual interest in revitalizing economic cooperation once conditions stabilized (Kuroda, 1997). In sum, the 1980s marked a contraction in Nigeria–Japan economic relations, driven by Nigeria's domestic crises and Japan's pragmatic investment calculus. However, the decade also demonstrated the resilience of diplomatic ties and the groundwork for a new, more strategic engagement in the decades to come.

The return to democratic governance in Nigeria in 1999 marked a significant turning point in the country's international engagement, including with Japan. With greater political stability under President Olusegun Obasanjo, Nigeria actively sought to re-establish and diversify its economic partnerships beyond traditional Western allies. Japan, recognizing Nigeria's strategic importance in West Africa, responded positively to this shift. Japan's economic diplomacy during this era was guided by the principles articulated in the Tokyo International Conference on African Development (TICAD) framework. TICAD, first held in 1993, provided a multilateral platform for dialogue between African leaders and Japan. Nigeria became a key participant, leveraging the opportunity to attract Japanese investment and development assistance (Kuroda, 1997).

Between 2000 and 2010, Japanese investment in Nigeria expanded, particularly in sectors such as energy, infrastructure, and telecommunications. Japanese firms such as Mitsubishi and Marubeni became more visible in Nigeria's industrial landscape, engaging in projects ranging from power generation to technology transfer initiatives. At the same time, Japan's official development assistance (ODA) continued to prioritize areas such as health care, capacity building, and technical training, in line with JICA's strategic goals for Africa (JICA, 2018). Politically, Japan supported Nigeria's reform agenda, especially anti-corruption efforts and the privatization of state-owned enterprises. This alignment of interests helped deepen bilateral ties. In 2001, President Obasanjo made a state visit to Japan, which culminated in several bilateral agreements, including commitments to increase Japanese private sector participation in Nigeria's economy. However, despite these advancements, trade volumes between both countries remained relatively modest. Structural constraints within Nigeria including poor infrastructure, inconsistent regulatory frameworks, and security challenges continued to deter large-scale Japanese investment. Moreover, Japan's cautious investment posture in high-risk markets further limited deeper economic entrenchment (World Bank, 1994).

In the subsequent administrations of Presidents Umaru Musa Yar'Adua, Goodluck Jonathan, and Muhammadu Buhari, Nigeria continued to court Japanese investment. The Buhari administration in particular emphasized infrastructure development, industrialization, and anti-corruption, themes that resonated with Japan's own economic engagement philosophy. In 2019, at the seventh TICAD conference held in Yokohama, President Buhari reaffirmed Nigeria's commitment to expanding bilateral ties, while Japan pledged to support infrastructural growth and digital innovation across Africa, including Nigeria. Despite these renewed commitments, the Nigeria-Japan relationship remained heavily donor-recipient in structure. While Japan's aid and technical assistance to Nigeria increased incrementally, Nigeria's export profile to Japan remained limited, primarily consisting of crude oil and raw agricultural commodities. Conversely, Japan exported industrial and technological goods, leading to an asymmetrical trade relationship that favoured Japan. This enduring imbalance raised questions about the sustainability and mutuality of the partnership.

Nonetheless, Japanese engagement in Nigeria has not been without impact. Developmental projects sponsored by JICA particularly in health, water sanitation, and education have contributed to Nigeria's human capital development. Furthermore, scholarship programmes, training exchanges, and technology transfer initiatives have helped foster greater cultural and intellectual linkages between the two countries (JICA, 2018). In essence, the Fourth Republic ushered in a phase of cautious optimism. While strategic alignment and developmental cooperation improved, fundamental trade disparities and structural barriers persisted. The promise of a more balanced Nigeria-Japan economic partnership remains tied to Nigeria's ability to reform its economic environment and Japan's willingness to recalibrate its risk assessments and expand its footprint in West Africa.

In the contemporary global economy, both Nigeria and Japan have been reconfiguring their foreign economic relations in response to emerging geopolitical, technological, and environmental realities. For Nigeria, the persistent challenge of diversifying its economy away from crude oil exports has necessitated the pursuit of strategic partnerships with technologically advanced nations. Japan, meanwhile, has sought to redefine its role in Africa by focusing on quality infrastructure, innovation, and human security objectives central to the Tokyo International Conference on African Development (TICAD) platform (MOFA-Japan, 2022). In recent years, Japan's approach to Nigeria has emphasized sustainable development, digital transformation, and private sector collaboration. Through the Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO) and the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), Japanese actors have increasingly focused on sectors such as renewable energy, information and communications technology (ICT), agriculture, and transport infrastructure. For instance, JICA's support in strengthening Nigeria's national power grid and urban transportation systems in Abuja and Lagos signifies a shift toward long-term developmental partnerships (JICA, 2021).

On the trade front, however, the bilateral relationship remains marked by asymmetry. Japan continues to export machinery, vehicles, and electronics to Nigeria, while importing mostly unrefined petroleum and a narrow range of agricultural products (UN-COMRAD, 2023). The persistence of this imbalance has raised concerns among Nigerian economists about dependency and the need to boost local industrial capacity. Furthermore, Nigeria's unstable business environment characterized by currency volatility, bureaucratic bottlenecks, insecurity, and infrastructural deficits continues to hinder the flow of Japanese foreign direct investment (FDI). Although Japanese investors recognize Nigeria's market potential, especially its large population and regional influence, risk-aversion remains high. According to a 2022 JETRO survey, while Japanese companies operating in Africa acknowledged Nigeria's opportunities, they ranked it among the most challenging markets in terms of ease of doing business (Embassy of Japan in Nigeria, 2022). Nonetheless, pockets of success persist. Japanese-funded vocational training centres have equipped Nigerian youths with technical skills, especially in auto-mechanics, ICT, and manufacturing. In education, scholarships and research exchanges have strengthened intellectual diplomacy between the two countries, with more Nigerian scholars gaining access to

Japanese universities under MEXT (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology) programs. Looking ahead, emerging areas such as climate resilience, fintech, smart agriculture, and health technology offer promising avenues for deeper collaboration. Nigeria's participation in green transition dialogues, its youthful tech-savvy population, and the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) provide strategic incentives for Japan to deepen its economic engagement. The establishment of Special Economic Zones (SEZs) in Nigeria could also serve as entry points for Japanese firms seeking localized production and regional market access. In essence, while the Nigeria-Japan economic relationship has evolved positively particularly in development cooperation and selective investment significant gaps remain in trade balance, industrial capacity building, and investor confidence. A future of mutual benefit depends on Nigeria's internal reforms and Japan's strategic recalibration to engage more actively with Africa's largest economy. Both countries stand to gain from a relationship that is not merely aid-oriented but grounded in innovation, equity, and long-term economic partnership.

Trade, Investment, and Economic Cooperation

Nigeria's economic engagement with Japan has historically been marked by asymmetry, with the former predominantly acting as a supplier of primary commodities and the latter exporting high-value manufactured goods. This imbalance in trade is not merely a numerical disparity but stems from structural issues within Nigeria's economy, limited diversification in export offerings, and Japan's stringent market entry protocols. Over the decades, Japanese automobiles, electronics, and industrial machinery have dominated Nigerian import shelves, while Nigeria's exports to Japan have largely been limited to raw materials such as crude oil, natural gas, sesame seeds, cocoa, and select minerals. The roots of this pattern can be traced back to the oil boom of the 1970s. Following the 1973 Arab-Israeli War and the subsequent oil embargo, Japan highly dependent on imported energy sought to diversify its petroleum sources. This shift brought Nigeria into Japan's energy calculus, and by the late 1970s, Nigerian oil constituted approximately 1.8% of Japan's total petroleum imports (Owoeye, 1986). However, this foothold proved temporary, as Japan resumed its preferential oil relations with Middle Eastern countries in subsequent years. In more recent times, Nigerian exports of liquefied natural gas (LNG) have continued to serve Japan's energy sector, though not without challenges. According to the Embassy of Japan in Nigeria (2019), Nigeria remains a key LNG supplier to Japan, though it faces mounting competition from major gas-exporting nations such as Qatar and Australia. The potential for expanding LNG exports is significant, but chronic infrastructural deficits, unreliable energy supply, and underinvestment in processing facilities have constrained the sector's scalability.

Beyond hydrocarbons, agricultural exports to Japan particularly sesame seeds have emerged as a promising avenue. The high demand for sesame in Japan's food industry, especially in baking, confectionery, and oil production, has positioned Nigeria among the top global exporters of the commodity. Sesame shipments have grown steadily since the early 2000s, partly under the influence of Japan's Africa-focused trade policies, particularly through the Tokyo International

Conference on African Development (TICAD) platform (2019). Cocoa, rubber, and cashew nuts have also attracted sporadic interest from Japanese importers, although challenges in traceability, certification, and product standardization continue to hamper growth in these segments. Nevertheless, Nigerian exporters face persistent barriers to entering the Japanese market. Chief among these are non-tariff measures, including sanitary and phyto-sanitary regulations, detailed labelling requirements, and highly formalized customs procedures. Adeleke notes that many Nigerian producers lack the technical capacity and institutional support to meet these demanding standards, particularly in areas such as food safety certification and logistics (Adeleke, 2010). Further complicating the export process is Nigeria's weak transport infrastructure, inadequate quality assurance systems, and insufficient knowledge about Japanese consumer preferences. Such gaps in capacity have meant that even where demand exists such as in agricultural produce or artisanal goods Nigerian products struggle to meet Japanese expectations in terms of quality, reliability, and branding.

Compounding this difficulty is the strong competition from Southeast Asian nations such as Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand, which offer similar commodities to the Japanese market but are geographically closer and often more efficient in fulfilling trade requirements. Olukoju argues that this regional proximity, combined with a history of Japanese investment and technical assistance in those countries, has further marginalized African suppliers like Nigeria in Japan's global trade matrix (Olukoju, 2007). Despite these barriers, there have been modest but significant efforts to foster economic cooperation between Nigeria and Japan through bilateral frameworks and multilateral initiatives. One of the most consistent platforms facilitating this relationship is the Tokyo International Conference on African Development (TICAD), launched by Japan in 1993. TICAD has served as a diplomatic bridge between Africa and Japan, promoting mutual economic interests, capacity building, and private-sector investment. Through TICAD's various action plans, Japan has extended technical and infrastructural support to Nigeria, targeting sectors such as energy, transportation, and agriculture. At the 7th TICAD Summit held in Yokohama in 2019, Japanese authorities reaffirmed their interest in African infrastructure and private investment, pledging up to \$20 billion in public and private contributions over three years, with Nigeria expected to benefit substantially from infrastructure partnerships and private capital inflows (MOFA-Japan, 2007).

Japanese Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in Nigeria, however, has remained limited in comparison to other Asian powers such as China or South Korea. According to the Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO), Japanese companies have expressed concerns about Nigeria's business climate, citing policy inconsistency, security risks, and foreign exchange volatility as key deterrents. While several Japanese firms such as Toyota, Tsusho, Mitsubishi Corporation, and Hitachi have maintained a long-standing presence in Nigeria, their operations have largely concentrated on distribution, energy consultancy, and project management, rather than large-scale manufacturing or long-term green-field investments. In contrast, Japan has been proactive in facilitating technology transfer and capacity building in Nigeria through training programs,

scholarships, and technical assistance schemes administered by the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA). JICA has supported multiple initiatives in Nigeria aimed at improving technical education, entrepreneurship, and agricultural productivity. For example, the Rice Post-Harvest Processing and Marketing Pilot Project, launched in Nasarawa and Benue states, helped smallholder farmers increase yields and reduce post-harvest losses using Japanese agricultural techniques (JICA, 2018). These forms of development cooperation reflect Japan's broader philosophy of "quality growth," emphasizing inclusivity, resilience, and sustainability in its international partnerships (JETRO, 2022).

On the diplomatic front, Japan's relations with Nigeria have remained cordial and mutually beneficial, with frequent high-level exchanges and technical missions. Yet, trade and economic collaboration continue to suffer from under-utilization. The Nigeria-Japan Business Facilitation Council, launched in 2017, aimed to bridge private-sector stakeholders and streamline trade discussions, but tangible outcomes have been limited (Embassy of Japan in Nigeria, 2022). Nigerian authorities have often struggled to create an enabling environment that would incentivize deeper Japanese investment, especially in sectors like manufacturing, technology, and renewable energy. Conversely, Japanese firms have been reluctant to invest beyond traditional commercial hubs like Lagos or Abuja, limiting the broader developmental impact of their presence (JETRO, 2022). Nevertheless, some emerging trends suggest the potential for a more balanced economic relationship. Nigeria's expanding digital economy, growing youth population, and increasing demand for renewable technologies present opportunities for deeper collaboration. Japanese expertise in smart infrastructure, robotics, and off-grid solar technology could align with Nigeria's development goals, particularly under frameworks such as the Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP) and the National Development Plan (2021–2025). As Kawai and Wignaraja argued, economic partnerships between Asia and Africa must evolve beyond commodity trade into areas of innovation, infrastructure, and human capital development. For Nigeria and Japan, this means harnessing complementary strengths to foster mutual growth. In the current global context, Nigeria-Japan economic relations are evolving within the broader framework of Asia-Africa cooperation, sustainable development, and geopolitical realignment (Kawai and Wignaraja, 2011). Japan, known for its "quality infrastructure" approach and commitment to sustainable development goals (SDGs), continues to engage with Nigeria through both bilateral mechanisms and multilateral initiatives such as the TICAD process. However, the pace and scale of economic collaboration remain modest compared to Nigeria's trade with other Asian partners such as China and India (UNCTAD, 2022).

The trade volume between Nigeria and Japan reflects an unbalanced structure that favours Japanese exports. According to the International Trade Centre (ITC), Japan exported over \$400 million worth of goods to Nigeria in 2022 mainly motor vehicles, machinery, and electronics while Nigerian exports to Japan, largely crude oil and agricultural products, stood at less than half that figure. This imbalance underlines the need for Nigeria to diversify its export base, build local processing capacity, and establish industrial partnerships that could create value-added products

attractive to the Japanese market. Without a shift in this trade pattern, the asymmetry will continue to hamper the mutual benefits of the relationship. Japan's foreign assistance through the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) has adapted to emerging global challenges, including climate change, digital transformation, and public health. In Nigeria, Japan has provided COVID-19 response support, vocational training, maternal health programs, and capacity-building in disaster risk reduction (JICA, 2018). These interventions reflect Japan's commitment to human security, a core pillar of its foreign policy in Africa. Still, Nigeria remains one of the least recipients of Japanese Official Development Assistance (ODA) in Sub-Saharan Africa, receiving far less than countries like Kenya or Ethiopia, which have established deeper bilateral development ties (OECD, 2021).

A major area of potential collaboration is renewable energy. As Nigeria faces chronic electricity shortages and Japan increases its investment in green technologies, opportunities abound for cooperation in solar mini-grids, battery storage, and energy-efficient appliances. Japan's Green Growth Strategy and experience in off-grid energy deployment could complement Nigeria's push for energy access and transition (METI-Japan, 2021). Yet, realization of these opportunities is hindered by Nigeria's policy instability, insecurity in investment zones, and infrastructure bottlenecks. In addition, the role of digital transformation and entrepreneurship in shaping Nigeria-Japan relations cannot be understated. Nigeria's booming tech sector Africa's largest offers a fertile ground for Japanese venture capital, software collaborations, and digital skills partnerships. Start-ups like Flutterwave and Paystack exemplify the innovation emerging from Nigeria's financial technology ecosystem. However, there is currently limited Japanese venture capital involvement in Nigeria's start-up scene. This represents a missed opportunity for Japan to leverage Nigeria's digital dynamism as part of its own Indo-Pacific Strategy, which includes a focus on free, open, and digitally connected societies (MOFA-Japan, 2023).

Cultural and people-to-people exchanges between Nigeria and Japan remain underdeveloped, despite the presence of a small but active Nigerian diaspora in Japan and a growing number of Nigerian students pursuing graduate studies in Japanese universities through MEXT scholarships. These exchanges foster cross-cultural understanding and human capital development, but more targeted academic partnerships, technical exchange programmes, and business mentorship opportunities are needed to strengthen bilateral ties. Japan's aging population and labour shortages may also provide long-term opportunities for skilled migration or transnational employment pathways if strategically negotiated. Ultimately, the sustainability of Nigeria-Japan economic relations will depend on the capacity of both countries to build trust, streamline institutional collaboration, and align their economic priorities. Nigeria must improve governance, invest in human capital, and promote regional integration through platforms like the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), which could make it a more attractive investment destination. Japan, on the other hand, must overcome its cautious investment posture in Africa and engage Nigeria not just as a resource partner but as a strategic ally for long-term growth and innovation. As scholars such as Alden and Hirano observe, the next phase of Africa-Asia relations

must move “from aid to partnership,” anchored in mutual interests, technological exchange, and joint problem-solving (Alden and Hirano, 2021). For Nigeria and Japan, this shift is not only desirable but necessary, as global development paradigms increasingly prioritize sustainability, inclusivity, and resilience in the face of climate change, inequality, and geopolitical uncertainty.

Conclusion

Economic relations between Nigeria and Japan have survived more than a century covering diverse sectors. Though there were little challenges during the colonial period as a result of the British colonial authority’s efforts at maintaining unfettered dominance on Nigeria’s economy, the economic relations between the two countries have been largely positive, peaceful, and symbiotic. While Nigeria’s exportation to Japan has been extensively primary products, importations from Japan are basically finished and industrial materials. This has paved the way for an unbalanced trade relations where one side tend to benefit more the other. Apart from this, certain encumbrances such as economic instability, inability to diversify, inconsistent policy, and technological backwardness have prevented Nigeria from deriving maximum benefits in the trade relations. This is coupled with the Japanese strategic policy of limiting the inter-relations to trade and commercial activities. From the prism of the theory of comparative cost advantage, however, Nigeria has a lot to still benefits in the economic relations judging by the level of technological advancement of Japan and the enormous aids and grants offered by Japan through her agencies.

References

Adebayo, A. (2004). Nigeria and the great powers: The impact of the cold war on Nigerian foreign policy. In *Nigeria’s External Relations: The First Twenty-Five Years*, ed G. O. Olusanya and R. A. Akindele, Nigerian Institute of International Affairs.

Adebayo, A. G. (2004). Globalization and Africa's economic development, *African Economic History*, 32, 1–20.

Adeleke, A. (1986). Nigeria–Japan relations: Retrospect and prospect, *Nigerian Forum: A Journal of Opinion on World Affairs*, 31(2), 45–60.

Adeleke, A. (2020). Barriers to Nigerian exports in the Japanese market: A critical assessment, *Journal of African Trade*, 17(4), 45–61.

Adeleke, A. A. (2010). Nigeria and Japan: A historical analysis of forty-six years of peaceful relations, 1960-2006, *African Nebula* 2, 107-127.

Akinrinade, S. and Owoeye, T. (2003). Japan’s post-war economic relations with Nigeria, *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 38 (4), 390–409.

Alden, C. and Hirano, K. (2021). *Japan and South Africa in a Globalizing World: Towards a Strategic Partnership*, Palgrave Macmillan.

Ampiah, Kweku (2006). Nigeria's fledging friendship with Japan: The beginning of a special partnership, *International Studies in Sociology and Social Anthropology* 98, 105.

Awugo, G. (2019). Asymmetries in Nigeria–Japan economic relations, *African Journal of International Affairs and Development*, 24(1), 67–89.

Bayne, N. and Woolcock, S. (2007). *The new economic diplomacy: Decision-making and negotiation in international economic relations*, Ashgate.

Bukarambe, B. (1986) Japan and Nigeria: A study of economic relations, 1960–1983,” *Nigerian Journal of International Affairs*, 12(1), 33–52.

Bukarambe, B. (1986). Economic diplomacy and the Nigerian–Japanese relationship: A critical analysis, *Nigerian Institute of International Affairs*.

Chife, A. (1992). *The political economy of Japanese investment in Nigeria*, Fourth Dimension Publishing.

Dixit, A. and Norman, V. (1980). *Theory of international trade: A dual, general equilibrium approach*, Cambridge University Press.

Embassy of Japan in Nigeria (2019), *Japan-Nigeria relations: Economic overview and development Cooperation*.

Embassy of Japan in Nigeria (2022), *Updates on bilateral trade and the Nigeria–Japan business facilitation council*.

Fajana, Olufemi (1976). Trends and prospects of Nigerian–Japanese trade, *The Journal of Modern African Studies* 14(1), 127-136.

Frank, A. G. (1967). *Capitalism and underdevelopment in Latin America*, Monthly Review Press.

Graham, F. D. (1956) *International trade under flexible exchange rates*, Columbia University Press.

International Peace Academy (IPA, 2003). *TICAD and Africa: Assessing Japan's Role in African Development*, International Peace Academy Policy Paper.

International Trade Centre (ITC, 2023). *Bilateral Trade between Nigeria and Japan in 2022*.

Japan External Trade Organization (2024), *Japan-Nigeria Trade and Investment Statistics*, JETRO, 2021-2024).

Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO, 2020), *Survey on Japanese companies in Africa: Business prospects and challenges*.

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA, 1990). *JICA Annual Report*, JICA, 1990.

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA, 2008). *JICA and Nigeria: Development partnership*.

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA, 2018). *JICA and Nigeria: Development partnership*, JICA, 2018.

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA, 2018). *Post-Harvest Rice Processing and Marketing Project in Nigeria*.

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA, 2021). *Strengthening Nigeria's Power Grid and Urban Transport Systems*, JICA, Annual Report, 2021.

Japan International Cooperation Agency. (JICA, 2008). *Strengthening Nigeria's Power Grid and Urban Transport Systems*

Kawai, M. and Wignaraja, G. (2011). *Asia's free trade agreements: How is business responding?* Edward Elgar Publishing.

Keohane, R. O. and Nye, J. S. (1977). *Power and Interdependence: World Politics in Transition*, Little Brown and Company.

Kuroda, K. (1997). Japan's new Africa policy and TICAD, *African Studies Review* 40(1), 1–20.

Lockwood, W. W. (1954). *The economic development of Japan: Growth and structural change, 1868–1938*, Princeton University Press.

Maneschi, A. (1998). *Comparative advantage in international trade: A historical perspective*, Edward Elgar Publishing.

Mavropoulos, N. (2018). The Japanese expansionism in Asia and the Italian expansion in Africa: A comparative study of the early Italian and Japanese colonialism.

Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI-Japan, 2022). *Green Growth Strategy through Achieving Carbon Neutrality in 2050*.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan (MOFA-Japan, 2019). *TICAD7 Official Reports and Commitments*, (MOFA-Japan, 2019)

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan (MOFA-Japan, 2022). *Japan's foreign policy toward Africa and TICAD framework*, MOFA-Japan, 2022.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan (MOFA-Japan, 2023). *Free and open Indo-Pacific strategy (FOIP)*.

National Planning Commission (NDP, 2021). *National Development Plan 2021–2025*, Federal Government of Nigeria, 2021.

Ogunremi, G. O. (1979). Japanese importation of Nigeria's products 1973-79, *Transafrican Journal of History* 6, 100-111.

Ogunremi, G. O. (2002) Nigeria-Japan Trade Relations, 1914-1954, *Nigerian Journal of History and International Studies*, 1(1), 5-27.

Olukoju, A. (2007). Nigeria's economic diplomacy and the Japanese connection: A historical perspective. *Lagos Historical Review*, 7(1), 1-17.

Olukoshi, A. (1993). *The Politics of Structural Adjustment in Nigeria*, James Currey.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2021). *Development Co-operation Report 2021: Shaping a Just Digital Transformation*, OECD Publishing.

Osaghae, E. E. (1998). *Crippled Giant: Nigeria since independence*, Indiana University Press.

Owoeye, J. (1986). Japan and Nigeria: Aspects of bilateral relations, *African Affairs* 85(3), 243–257.

Owoeye, T. (1986). Nigeria–Japan relations: An overview, *Nigerian Forum* 7(2), 23–39.

Peattie, M. R. (1984). *Japanese colonial empire, 1895–1945*, Princeton University Press.

UN Comtrade (2023). *International trade statistics: Nigeria and Japan*, UN COMTRADE, 2023.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD, 2022). *World Investment Report 2022: International Tax Reforms and Sustainable Investment*.

World Bank (1994), *Adjustment in Africa: Reforms, Results, and the Road Ahead*, (World Bank).