

## Divine Algorithms? Artificial Intelligence and the Reconfiguration of Organized Religion in the Digital Age

Edy Ubi EWOH<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

This article argues that Artificial Intelligence (AI) is not a threat to organised religion, but a transformative partner capable of reshaping religious practice, theology, and organisational structure. Drawing from interdisciplinary and African humanistic scholarship, the study, asks how can AI improve church administration, facilitate evangelisation, help with theological reflection, foster inter-religious dialogue, and how does it pose ethical and doctrinal challenges? The main point is that the ethical use of AI could be a technology and God. That is, the technology and God together enhance religion's ability to interpret, communicate and humanise in the digital age. The study is conceptual and interpretive in its methodology and is based on critically synthesising literature across theology, media studies, and ethics. Drawing primarily from African perspectives, we present a decolonised framework for the ethics of AI based on communitarian responsibility and spiritual integrity. The analysis shows how AI can be viewed as a medium for the negotiation of moral, cultural, and theological meaning through the contribution to a technological theology. It sees divine agency and digital systems as mutually formed, not at odds with each other. Accordingly, this research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by formulating an ethical-theological framework that positions faith institutions to leverage AI within a construct of pastoral care, inclusive dialogue, and global solidarity, thereby transmuting technological innovation into a moral-spiritual enterprise.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, organized religion, technological development, faith, and institutions

### 1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly evolved from a speculative science fiction concept to a transformative force reshaping nearly every aspect of human endeavours. Bainbridge AI describes AI as the development of computer systems that can perform tasks normally requiring

---

1. Department of Religious and Cultural Studies, Faculty of Arts, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria; [Edyudy22@gmail.com](mailto:Edyudy22@gmail.com)

human intelligence such as learning, decision-making, language processing, and pattern recognition (2006, p.27). These capabilities now extend far beyond conventional industries like finance, medicine, and logistics, reaching into traditionally non-technological domains such as culture, ethics, and, increasingly, religion (Bainbridge, 2006, p. 28-9). On a related note, Lussier sees organised religion a structured system of faith and worship practised by large communities under established institutions such as churches, mosques, synagogues, temples, and ecclesiastical organisations (2024, p.785). These institutions provide doctrinal teachings, ritual practices, community governance, and moral guidance rooted in religious traditions that span centuries. In addition, like every social institution, organised religion is also subject to the pressures and innovations of the digital age. The relationship between religion and technology has historically been complex yet synergistic. The invention of the printing press catalysed the Protestant Reformation by making the Bible accessible to laypeople. Radio and television expanded the reach of evangelists, while the internet has allowed religious communities to thrive in virtual spaces. Today, AI represents the newest frontier in this evolutionary trajectory (Vestrucci, 2022, p.12).

This research argues that Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers organised religion transformative benefits in five critical domains: administrative efficiency, outreach and evangelism, theological interpretation, interfaith dialogue, and global engagement. Thus, rather than undermining religious authority or spiritual authenticity, AI can augment and streamline religious operations, enhance accessibility to sacred knowledge, and facilitate dialogue across faith boundaries in an increasingly pluralistic world.

The field of theology and humanism is beginning to examine the relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) and organised religion. How does this technological cognition relate to spiritual authority and religious practices? AI is a cognitive model modelled after human-behaviour characteristics such as belief and ritual logic (Bainbridge, 2006, p.27; Lane, 2021, p.78). In later analyses, Singler (2024, p.43) and Vestrucci (2022, p.12) contend that AI and religion are co-evolving systems, each capable of shaping the various moral, cultural and interpretive frameworks present in contemporary society.

Since the beginning of time, technology that enhances involvement and access to spiritual knowledge has been exploited by organised religion. The printing press made scripture available to the common people and thus led to a reformation in Christianity through the laity, and broadcast and digital media expanded cross-border evangelism (Campbell, 2022, p.29; Cheong, 2021, p.78). Inside this technological continuum, AI represents a new level of transformation through the automation of administration, personalisation of worship and wider interfaith communication (Popova, 2024, p.89; Afunugo and Molokwu, 2024, p.57). At the same time, these developments also raise questions about doctrine, data ethics and the authenticity of spiritual experience (Graham, 2023, p.83; Verdonk and Wei, 2022, p.554).

Recent scholarship from *Agidigbo: ABUAD Journal of the Humanities* offers an important African humanistic perspective. As an alternative to computational intelligence, Ifá-derived

intelligence is a relational and moral intelligence. In view of this, Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p.15) argue for the decolonisation of artificial intelligence and making it indigenous. According to Esamagu, Wazhi, and Adeyinka (2024, p.41), the integration of A.I. into African historical research and the epistemic slant it reveals, suggests the need for interdisciplinary accountability. These themes are also relevant to theology and ethics. AI changing communicative practice amongst the youth is like how religion has also digitised – Obasi and Obiekwe (2025, p.9). The study by Peters and Olojede (2025, p.22) has provided importance to the idea of knowledge systems with the advent of generative AIs, which brings up ethical questions of how and who gets to be the author with moral responses and human agency. These questions share similarities with various debates in religious education and ministry.

The literature merges on three main themes through synthesis of these perspectives.

1. AI helps with the effectiveness of the administration, evangelistic activity, and theological interpretation.
2. The use of artificial intelligence raises an array of ethical issues, which include the need for transparency, authenticity, justice, and social impact or benefits.
3. Theological Reinterpretation – redefining divine-human relations and moral authority within algorithmic culture.

Together, these insights form the analytic foundation for analysing how organised religion can deploy AI as a technological tool and engage with it as a theological interlocutor.

This study employs a conceptual and interpretive methodology that critically engages interdisciplinary literature on the theology, ethics and communication of Artificial Intelligence. As opposed to empirical or quantitative data, it engages in being theoretical exploration and analytical synthesis to understand the changing relationship between organised religion and new technologies. The conceptual approach allows for the identification and connection of the important ideas animating today's debates about AI and faith. Scholarly frameworks pertaining to religious institutions, as these are reconfiguring doctrinal authority and practice in technologically mediated settings.

The study's interpretive dimension refers to the meanings generated in religious and technological discourses. Utilising hermeneutical reasoning, we interpret AI which is not only a technical innovation but also a moral and theological event reshaping the relationship between people and institutions. Moreover, the analysis incorporates theological, media studies, philosophical, cultural studies, and African humanism perspectives in an interdisciplinary approach. International scholars were consulted to include Graham (2023, p. 81) and Singler (2024, p. 43). Contributions from *Àgídígbo: ABUAD Journal of the Humanities* were also adopted, notably including Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p. 18) and Esamagu, Wazhi and Adeyinka (2024, p. 41). This engagement makes sure that the discourse is contextually inclusive and ethically reflexive.

By occupying a conceptual-interpretative paradigm, this study seeks to contribute to the scholarly discourse by articulating theoretical insights in a coherent framework that can explain how organised religion can engage with the AI both ethically and theologically. The methodological transparency shows that the article is not an empirical study or a case study, but a critical and reflective analysis aimed at enhancing the understanding of how faith encounters artificial intelligence.

## **2.0 Historical Context: Religion and Technology**

Organised religion has always managed to adapt itself to technology, according to an expert, and used it to effectuate the spread of doctrine. Throughout history, religious institutions never rejected new technologies nor did they simply sit by and accept them. Rather through the centuries they have engaged with them to extend the reach of their sacred messages of communication and institutional message. Each technological revolution alters the ways in which an aspect of religion be it religious experience or religious authority is expressed.

The printing press was probably the most significant technological innovation in religious communication after the invention of writing itself. The press helped produce the Bible and other sacred texts in bulk which democratised scripture and challenged the church's monopoly of religious knowledge (Singler, 2024, p.43). The Protestant Reformation transformed Christianity's understanding and organisation of the church. The development of the electronic media magnified religious voices later on. Broadcasters like Billy Graham became famous after being able to reach millions of people sitting at home because of radio and television that made evangelism global. (Campbell, 2022, p. 29) These media developments broadened the reach of religions but kept a lid on message and orthodoxy.

The digital revolution in the last decades of the twentieth century launched a new era of religion. According to Cheong (2021), the Internet and social media enabled the emergence of virtual congregations, online sacraments, and digital ministries beyond ethnic, regional, and national boundaries (p. 78). Various religious institutions began to use digital space for worship and theological discussions. In an age when media mediates much of our faith experience, believers use the digital media to read, re-read, reinterpret scriptures and feel the spirit of community.

African scholars have expanded this historical analysis by placing religious adaptation within indigenous frameworks of technology ethics. According to Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p. 18), the religious institutions in Africa have always engaged with innovation from the standpoint of morality, community, relationality, and spirituality. Likewise, according to Esamagu, Wazhi and Adeyinka (2024, p. 41), the experience of Africa with media, from print to digital, demonstrates a model of contextual adaptation grounded in moral accountability and epistemic plurality. The continent's engagement with AI is not one of technological dependency, but of cultural reinterpretation.

The next stage of technology and religion will be defined by artificial intelligence (AI). AI, like the internet or the printing press, is reshaping how religious knowledge is produced, interpreted, embodied, and transmitted. The ability of AI to process huge theological corpuses, simulate pastoral dialogue and play a mediating role in inter-religious dialogue marks a new phase in technology's sacred use. Nonetheless, Verdonk and Wei (2022, p. 554) caution that these should not take place without ethics so that algorithmic efficiency does not interfere with religious authenticity and spiritual agency.

The journey from print to AI epitomises the continual interplay between religion and modernity. Challenged and reshaped faith, each technological age has made communication, interpretation, and participation possible for all people and things. The spirit of organised religion is thus a field of practice which shapes technology and is shaped by technology, though, on the journey from one to the other, like a game of telephone, distortions are introduced.

### 3.1 Administrative Efficiency in Religious Institutions

According to some experts, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is modifying the administrative structures of religious entities at a much faster pace than previously anticipated as it automates core organisational functions, enhances transparency and encourages evidence-based decision-making.

Religious organisations like churches, mosques, temples, and synagogues are now using AI-powered platforms to manage donations, membership database and event scheduling – tasks that would previously consume huge manpower and cost (Popova, 2024, p. 89). This automation means that clergy and administrators can focus on spiritual leadership and community engagement instead of routine management.

AI chatbots and virtual assistants consistently offer instant answers to queries regarding worship schedules, prayer timing, or doctrinal clarification. Some of the systems use sentiment analysis to detect emotional cues and recommend a pastoral follow-up or professional referral when appropriate. Graham (2023, p. 81). Likewise, in terms of financial management, AI is being used to spot anomalies in donations and help ensure ethical stewardship of funds (Trotta et al., 2024, p. 6). Tools like these showcase the ability of algorithmic systems to improve accountability, and efficiency.

Within the African contexts, contemporary scholarship in *Àgídìgbò: ABUAD Journal of the Humanities* provides a humanistic outlook to these debates. According to Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p. 15), initiatives involving the utilisation of Artificial Intelligence for administrative purposes must be rooted in indigenous moral frameworks characterised by communal responsibilities and undertakings. Furthermore, this adverse manifestation of application must comport with veritable African epistemologies of leadership. Moreover, Esamagu et al. (2024, p. 41) maintain that the adoption of algorithms in institutional management requires interdisciplinary and ethical reflexivity to avert epistemic or cultural bias. According

to them, the human and spiritual aspects of such administration must never be overshadowed by efficiency.

Yet ethical tensions remain. According to Verdonk and Wei, 2022, p.556), we risk losing relational depth with automation which will reduce followers to data. Tools for surveillance, such as facial-recognition systems used to track attendance or security, can invoke privacy and theological concerns about the sanctity of worship (Cheong, 2021, p. 89). Also, Peters and Olojede (2025, p. 22) point to the case of generative AI in education as technological efficacy bringing with it a new set of ethical responsibilities. The same could be of faith institutions using similar tools.

In brief, the use of artificial intelligence (AI) if properly employed in administrative and institutional credibility ensures that it leads to increased efficiency, although not without theological implications. Organised religion would have to use AI in ways that are compassionate, confidential, and community-oriented. The aim should be to keep technology a servant of faith and not its substitute.

### **3.2 Enhanced Religious Outreach and Evangelism**

Artificial intelligence (AI) is changing how churches do outreach and evangelism. Churches can now use AI technology to do more personal, scalable and interactive evangelism. Through the use of machine learning and recommendation algorithms, faith communities now provide users with individualised sermons, devotional messages, and reflective meditations that are customised according to the preferences or prayer history of individual users (Afunugo and Molokwu, 2024, p. 57).

Younger, tech-savvy audiences want speed and effectiveness to get more engaged with the offering, mainly offered at the right time. Automated bots that work on artificial intelligence and social media forums presence on Facebook, WhatsApp, X or Twitter, etc. share scriptures, brief sermons and inspiring posts. These tools allow for constant engagement with the faithful, regardless of geographical or temporal constraints (Campbell, 2022, p. 29). Additionally, systems like Alexa and Google Assistant that can respond to voice queries have proven useful in worship for encouraging multilingual scripture readings and prayer prompts for the comfort of believers with language or visual obstacles (Tsuria and Tsuria, 2024, p. 376).

Scholars argue from Africa humanism that evangelism using AI must reflect proper communication ethics that are rooted in relationship. According to Obasi and Obiekwe (2025, p. 9), AI engenders new languages, conversations, and identities in the digital world. This requires religious communicators to balance efficiency and culture. Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p.15) also propose that decolonised moral frameworks which uphold the community and spiritual essence of African religiosity guide the communications of AI. Algorithmic evangelism must transcend mere technological replication and cultivate digital ministry that resonates culturally.

However, the similarities that link outreach with the technology result in clashes. Making faith too personal has the effect of theological echo chambers that reinforces narrow interpretations (Afunugo and Molokwu, 2024, p.59). When supervision is lacking, algorithm evangelism can misinform or distort holy texts (Tsuria and Tsuria, 2024, p. 77). Peters and Olojede also note (2025, p.22) in the educational area of human fallibility that AI imperatives or content may erode human discernment and critical thought. And these questions will also affect theological reflection and pastoral authenticity.

In the end, organised religion will have to deal with the challenges. It broadens the potential for evangelism while calling for a renewal of ethical vigilance and a creativity in theology. Christian organisations can use new tools to keep faith alive in the digital world. These organisations know the importance of being able to contact people who are unable to, for many reasons, come to the church.

### 3.3 AI and Theological Research

AI is contributing towards a better understanding of theology by offering robust analysis, interpretation and translation. By using techniques from Natural Language processing (nlp) and other semantic modelling procedures, it's now possible for scholars and clergy to draw comparative studies of scripture so sharply and quickly, unlike exegesis (Tsuria and Tsuria, 2024, p.378). Advanced technology is being used to find the similarities between the various religious texts throughout history for comparison. Religious collections, stop-word lists, and weightings assigned to various religiously sensitive words, such as Allah, have been developed for the training of Islam machine translation systems.

AI enables interfaith research beyond textual study. Christian theologian and philosopher Robert Adams argues there are theological paths to follow that lead toward greater convergence and agreement on the major issues (Gilliard, 2025, p.3). Nevertheless, these analytical models do pose epistemic risks. Theological constructs can be oversimplified or distorted when algorithms lack context awareness or trained on a culturally narrow data set (Singler, 2024, p.117, Verdonk and Wei, 2022, p.556). As Graham (2023, p.83) warns, relying too heavily on machine interpretations could end up mechanising theology, which would undermine human discernment and spiritual insight in our reflection on doctrine.

Insights from African humanistic scholarship highlights the significance of contextual ethics and epistemological pluralism in the theology of AI. Theologians must decolonise engagement with artificial intelligence (AI) as Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p. 18) suggest. Such a stance must be anchored in indigenous wisdom systems that understand knowledge as moral and relational. According to Esamagu, Wazhi and Adeyinka (2024, p. 41), interdisciplinary accountability in AI research must be taken seriously so as not to repeat cultural/theological bias. Perspectives like this challenge Western paradigms of theological AI, and call for frameworks which affirm spiritual diversity and contextual integrity.

According to Obasi and Obiekwe (2025, p. 9), AI's language models are influencing the nature of discourse involved in the expression of theology in digital formats. Based on what they found, AI does not merely enable the analysis of theology. It also transforms its medium so that theologians must ask how sacred language works with algorithms.

All in all, the AI offers a method and a mirror to theology. The application offers new capabilities to analyse the scripture-human faith relations while showcasing humanity's ongoing quest for meaning using technology. However, in a similar context, Peters and Olojede (2025, p.22) urge us not to lose sight of ethical and epistemological vigilance. Theological inquiry must control AI not be controlled by it so that intelligence serves revelation of God and doesn't replace it.

### **3.4 Interfaith Dialogue and Cultural Understanding**

As communities around the world are more diverse and digitised, the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be an important facilitator of interreligious dialogue. AI's ability to analyse considerable textual and linguistic data helps scholars and places of worship discover commonalities and differences in nuanced ways. For example, Ahmed, Sumi, and Aziz (2025, p. 4) demonstrate AI-based simulations that hold discussions between religions and use sacred texts and doctrine comments to aid mutual understanding and mitigate sectarian conflict. Faith-based approaches are tools which cannot only assist academic research but also create practical avenues for cross-faith collaboration in peace, ethics, education etc. AI also helps promote tolerance and empathy through analysis of religion in public space. He (2024) argues that analysis and bias-detection algorithms are designed to identify inflammatory or exclusionary language and promote digital civility and humanitarian values. In a similar fashion, using translation and visualisation technologies powered by AI, complex rituals and theological ideas can become more accessible to outsiders of specific traditions to nurture empathy (Singler, 2024, p. 119).

African academics significantly enrich this debate by framing interfaith dialogue within indigenous knowledge systems and moral orders. According to Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p. 19), the ethics guiding AI must be firmly founded on a communal approach that promotes and enables African humanism. Similarly, Esamagu, Wazhi and Adeyinka (2024, p.41) state that an interdisciplinary approach involving theology, history, and technology is essential to prevent AI-generated religious interactions from replicating Western power hierarchies of knowledge. According to Obasi and Obiekwe (2025, p.9), the capacity of AI to communicate across different languages can help democratise participation in interfaith engagements, especially among minority cultures.

Nevertheless, ethical problems linger. AI systems can reinforce stereotypes or misrepresent minority religions when they rely on unbalanced datasets or biased datasets (Verdonk and Wei, 2022, p.557). The automation of theological dialogue presents an ontological question of authenticity: Can a machine ever capture the experience and spirituality present in faith traditions? As Graham (2023, p. 83) warns, using algorithms and technology in religious service risks diminishing the relational dimension of faith.



Peters and Olojede (2025, p.22) indicate that AI can both expand accessibility and participation yet put a new ethical burden on us to ensure inclusivity, fairness and respect for doctrinal boundaries. Consequently, the application of artificial intelligence in interfaith contexts must have ethical bipartisanship, a theological determination.

In the end, we believe that AI can help to bridge faiths and not only translate words, but meaning too. AI can be ethically managed and brought to culture to transform interreligious dialogue from mere tolerance to mutual enrichment by affirming human and divine dimensions of understanding across technology and its uses.

#### **4.0 Ethical and Pastoral Applications**

More and more, pastoral care and spiritual counselling are becoming engaged with Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a new means of interaction. Religious organisations can now use sentiment analysis to detect emotional and psychological clues in the writings and speech, and the online encounters of the faithful. Tools exist due to AI that can help clergy identify distress, loneliness, and moral dilemmas among followers (Graham, 2023, p.81). Such systems are especially desirable for larger or dispersed faith communities, where there can be limited pastoral contact. AI can enable the timely assistance of a pastor and emotional support with the analysis of prayer requests, chat logs and social media.

Moreover, vulnerable groups like the elderly, disabled, or socially isolated will receive greater comfort from AI “spiritual companions”. Many empathetic systems can guide users in prayers, read scripture, and provide moral reflections. A semblance of companionship is created which reduces isolation (Tampubolon and Nadeak, 2024, p. 92). Although these devices enhance accessibility, they also provoke profound theological and ethical questions concerning authenticity, relationality, and the parameters of human ministry. The appearance of these so-called confession bots has raised concerns about privacy, data ethics and whether forgiveness or moral reconciliation through the machine interface is even conceivable (Schafer, 2021, p. 21; Verdonk and Wei, 2022, p. 554).

African scholarship helps address such dilemmas in an ethical way. According to Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p.18), the AI application in religion must integrate values of community-focused moral accountability and spirituality, a tenet of African humanism. Esamagu, Wazhi and Adeyinka (2024, p. 42) have similarly underscored the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and culturally grounded oversight for the deployment of AI in morally and emotionally caring contexts. Their viewpoints strengthen the argument that pastoral technologies need to be guided not only by effectiveness but also by theological judgement and ethical sensitivity.

According to Obasi and Obiekwe (2025, p.9) from the perspective of communicative interaction, artificial intelligence changes the character of dialogue, turning confession, counsel and prayer into a digital affair. Spiritual leaders must rethink their roles as pastors in a context where data and emotion come together. According to Peters and Olojede (2025, p.22), the

deployment of these technologies creates new moral responsibilities, especially concerning the trust and truth given and received in digital relationships.

As a result, religion must learn to use artificial intelligence to aid with ministry without losing its focus on the key relationship it serves. Pastoral AI systems should be made using ethical frameworks that protect privacy, promote compassion, and uphold the sanctity of human conscience. As Duke (2023, p. 13) argues, we must ensure that technology is morally aligned with Christian convictions, and thus Christian institutions in particular must exert influential powers on technology's moral agenda. Balancing AI innovation with reverence comprises the ethical and pastoral application of AI. If it is grounded in theology and ethics, AI can help deepen care, inclusion, or hospitality without undermining faith. The job of religious leadership is not to fight AI but humanise it in order to turn algorithms into tools of empathy and ethical analysis.

### **5.0 Challenges and Ethical Considerations**

While Artificial Intelligence (AI) has extraordinary advantages for organised religion, its integration also carries with it tremendous ethical, theological and cultural implications that require ongoing consideration. The potential loss of human spiritual leadership is one of the biggest worries. When chatbots preach or counsel, congregants may replace machines with pastors, as machines confer authority and legitimacy in a way that is useful but flawed (Graham, 2023, p. 83). Many religions see ministry as a relationship. And fostering that relationship, this trend risks compromising the relational essence of ministry.

Doctrinal distortion and bias are equally important issues. AI systems, if trained on selective data or data from a biased culture, may inadvertently propagate sectarianism or misrepresentation of theology, while also promoting extremist narratives. (Tsuria and Tsuria, 2024, p.379; Verdonk and Wei, 2022, p 556). He cautions us in (2024, p.10) that the use of AI in religious spaces may confuse the real versus simulate gap, as it interferes with God's voice causing pain to a spirit. Privacy issues are particularly acute in case of the use of AI technologies for monitoring or engagement tracking. The use of facial recognition and behaviour analytics in worship spaces threatens the integrity of religious sacredness (Cheong 2021, p.89).

AI debates have become further nuanced thanks to the ethical implications of AI happening through indigenous epistemologies by African scholars. Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p.18) assert that AI ethics must be grounded upon a clear process of decolonisation, linking the development of technology to communal and moral accountability instead of the universalism of Western utilitarianism. Esamagu, Wazhi, and Adeyinka (2024, p.41) also stress the significance of interdisciplinary and culturally sensitive governance to address epistemic bias in AI applications. Obasi and Obiekwe (2025, p.9) note that AI (Artificial Intelligence) changes the language and symbol-making ability. This raises questions about the authenticity of religious

discourse. The shared thoughts by you people show that humanising technologies goes beyond regulations, and that is essentially what ethical writing means.

Questions of authenticity and bias aside, the very possibility of attributing spiritual consciousness to AI has become a battleground of theology. According to some scholars, advanced AI might be capable of having some moral reasoning or awareness comparable to a soul. Nevertheless, most religious beliefs reject this as anthropomorphism (Verdonk and Wei, 2022, p.558). Nonetheless, these debates present an opportunity for the faith institutions to offer a view on safeguarding theological integrity under an ever-expanding digital storm.

Discussion on ethics also covers the socio-economic and cultural areas. According to Peters and Olojede (2025, p.22), access to AI tools and literacy is not equal. This unequal access results in digital inequity. Such similar concerns were raised in religious communities. The disparities created by AI tools and experts are unjust. In addition, these tools often fail to include the marginalised in society. Section C highlights the necessity of advocacy for regulations governing technological advancements. As Duke (2025, p. 280) points out, weak institutional frameworks in parts of Global South increase this challenge, hence religious organisations must also advocate for protections that safeguard the vulnerable.

All things considered; the AI challenges require organised religion to reclaim its place as a guide to moral standards. Faith groups should not just react to the dangers of AI. They should also shape the ethics of AI. The innovation should be subordinate to spirituality. Through this lens, humanity can recognise technology's challenges as opportunities for moral leadership, interpreting technology in a manner that serves compassion rather than control.

## 6.0 Future Directions

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the religious sphere is still in infancy but the growth in technology would have a great impact on faith in time to come. As digital systems become more sophisticated in perception, language and empathy, AI will increasingly further worship, doctrine and community interaction. Emerging technologies, such as virtual reality (VR), augmented worship, and AI-created sermons are transforming sacred space and religious participation in new ways (Singler, 2024, p.112). Believers can experience their beliefs and faith in a sensory dimension that goes beyond the geographical and physical barriers.

AI-powered “spiritual companions” and pastoral chatbots continue to develop paralogic capabilities, allowing them to respond to emotional states and individual faith journeys. Systems like these may boost accessibility and personalisation but they raise important questions about spiritual authenticity and authority, as well as data ethics (Lane, 2021, p. 78; He, 2024, p. 10). As technology becomes more prevalent, religions will have to delimit between gods' mysteries and the digital simulacrum, thus ensuring that the sacred experience is not an algorithmic copy.

African views are critical to informing this evolution. According to Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p. 19), future designs of artificial intelligence should be rooted in decolonised moral

and spiritual frames that echo personal or communal responsibility and moral reciprocity. Sustainable AI development must consider interdisciplinary ethics, as Esamagu, Wazhi and Adeyinka, 2024, p. 41 note. Technological know-how must not compromise local realities or human dignity. Peters and Olojede (202) argue that fair access and literacy are necessary to prevent digital marginalisation. Global faith communities can recognise that need for inclusion.

The fact that AI can also promote inter-faith cooperation underscores a promising future direction, beyond its ethical implications. The development of machine learning systems with the capacity for comparative theological reasoning can help cultivate greater understanding between religions. More importantly, they can help build a joint moral framework to tackle inequality, climate change, and peace (Ahmed et al., 2025, p.4). In this regard, AI may not only be a means of communication but also a space of moral cooperation that allows faith traditions to act in unison for the common good in the world.

To go further, rigorous theological thinking and institutional arrangement are necessary. According to Duke (2025, p.354), faith organisations, principally in the Global South, must put in place a stronger ethical and administrative structure to utilise AI efficiently and effectively. In the age of AI, it takes less technological capacity and more spiritual discernment to enable life-affirming uses of technology. Spiritual discernment is the capacity to integrate the good with the better, innovation with compassion, wisdom, and justice.

Few last words, AI implications on organised religion are indeed paradoxically beneficial and dangerous. The tools will democratise access to the sacred legacy, increase pastoral outreach and foster global solidarity, provided they're inspired by ethical vision and theological depth. Religious institutions have the challenge of turning AI not only into technology but into a moral friend, one that showcases the divine call of humanity to create and understand and care.

## **7.0 Conclusion**

Emerging challenges in organised religion from AI and technological change exist. The research has shown that AI is not only automating and analysing but also transforming the administrators' teaching, communicating and grasping the religion. Rather than trying to argue that AI threatens faith, this study argues that organised religion can view it as a partner in mission and meaning, so long as its use is ethical and theological.

The incorporation of AI into religious organisations has positively impacted various domains such as the administration, outreach, theological studies and interfaith dialogue (Popova 2024, p.89; Afunugo and Molokwu 2024, p.57; Ahmed et al. 2025, p.4). The evolution showcasing the ever-changing relationship between religion and technology from printing press to digital world (Campbell, 2022, p.29; Cheong, 2021, p.78). As this study shows, the real question is not whether religion will adapt to AI. The question is how religion will adapt to AI without losing its authenticity, morality, and community.

The work's analytical contribution offers a perspective where the relationship between AI and organised religion is framed as a 'technological theology' approach that sees algorithmic systems as more than mere tools: they are media through which (moral, cultural, theological) meaning is negotiated. The research proposes an interdisciplinary framework that incorporates theology, ethics, and African humanism in order to understand how AI can be used for sacred ends. According to African scholars like Olojede and Fadahunsi (2024, p.19) and Esamagu, Wazhi, and Adeyinka (2024, p. 41), there is an essential need for decolonised and situational AI Ethics which re-echoes to the global world that progress against technology should be measured by morals and the community.

Moreover, this study contributes to the literature by articulating a balanced engagement framework for faith institutions; namely, one that integrates functionality, ethical supervision and theological recasting. The ability to integrate the AI's administrative and communicative capabilities functions; ethical oversight proposes transparency, justice, care and moral values in the use of technology; and reexamination of the theology of faith communities invites new imagination of divine-human partnership for digital intelligence.

In the end, organised religion now faces a new reformation mediated not by print or broadcast but by algorithms. As Duke (2025, p. 354) argues, the shift allows large parts of the Global South to push the AI revolution along lines that affirm human dignity and spiritual depth. If AI is embraced in the right way by faith communities, it can turn a technological revolution into a spiritual renaissance. This shows that divine purpose and digital innovation need to be at odds but can be together in the name of truth, justice, and compassion.

## References

- Ahmed, Shamsul, Ayesha Sumi, and Norzalita Abd Aziz. (2025). *Exploring Multi-Religious Perspectives of Artificial Intelligence. Theology and Science*, 23(1), 1–9.
- Afunugo, Kingsley N., and Godwin C. Molokwu. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence and Effective Evangelization of the Nigerian Church. Journal of African Studies and Sustainable Development*, 7(2), 52–62. <https://www.acjoi.org/index.php/jassd/article/download/5115/4964>
- Alkhouri, Khaled I. (2024). *The Role of Artificial Intelligence in the Study of the Psychology of Religion. Religions*, 15(3), 290–301. <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1444/15/3/290>
- Bainbridge, William. (2006). *God from the Machine: Artificial Intelligence Models of Religious Cognition*. AltaMira Press.
- Campbell, Heidi. (2022). *Digital Religion: Understanding Religious Practice in New Media Worlds*. Routledge.
- Cheong, Pauline Hope. (2021). *Bounded Religious Automation at Work: Communicating Human Authority in Artificial Intelligence Networks. Journal of Communication Inquiry*, 45(1), 77–96. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0196859920977133>
- Duke, Emmanuel. (2023). *Theatre and Religion: Catalysts for Good Moral Value in the Child. African Theatre Studies Review*, 10(2), 5–15.
- . (2025). *Weak Institutions in the Global South and Integral Development*. In Boypa Egbe, David Imbua, & Felix Okorn (Eds.), *Regional Cooperation and Integration in the Global South* (pp. 270–286). University of Calabar Press.

- . (2025). *BRICS: Emerging Global South Regional Economic Cooperation*. In Boypa Egbe, David Imbua, & Felix Okorn (Eds.), *Regional Cooperation and Integration in the Global South* (pp. 350–369). University of Calabar Press.
- Esamagu, Emmanuel, David Wazhi, and Olayinka Adeyinka. (2024). *The Intersection between Artificial Intelligence, Interdisciplinary Collaboration and African History*. *Agidigbo: ABUAD Journal of the Humanities*, 4(1), 35–48. <https://journals.abuad.edu.ng/index.php/agidigbo/article/download/1173/655/4642>
- Graham, Elaine. (2023). *Techno-Spiritual Care: AI, Ministry, and the Future of Pastoral Counseling*. *Theology and Practice*, 17(3), 77–93.
- He, Yaqi. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence and Religious Transformation*. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11(1), 1–13. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-024-03137-8>
- Lane, Justin. (2021). *Understanding Religion Through Artificial Intelligence*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Obasi, Emmanuel, and Chinyere Obiekwe. (2025). *Language and Communication Implications of Artificial Intelligence among Nigerian Undergraduates*. *Agidigbo: ABUAD Journal of the Humanities*, 5(1), 1–12. <https://journals.abuad.edu.ng/index.php/agidigbo/issue/current>
- Olojede, Funmilayo, and Samuel Fadahunsi. (2024). *On Decolonising Artificial Intelligence*. *Agidigbo: ABUAD Journal of the Humanities*, 4(1), 10–22. <https://journals.abuad.edu.ng/index.php/agidigbo/article/view/924>
- Peters, Mary, and Funmilayo Olojede. (2025). *Influence of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) in Nigerian Higher Education*. *Agidigbo: ABUAD Journal of the Humanities*, 5(1), 20–28. <https://journals.abuad.edu.ng/index.php/agidigbo/article/view/1713>
- Popova, Svetlana. (2024). *Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Activities of Religious Associations*. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*, 85–94. <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/use-of-artificial-intelligence-in-the-activities-of-religious-associations-and-control-over-them>
- Schafer, Burkhard. (2021). *Can One Be Just Without Being Human? Legal AI and the Quest for Executable Justice*. In *Transformative Technologien*. Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft.
- Singler, Beth. (2024). *Religion and Artificial Intelligence: An Introduction*. OAPEN Library <https://library.oapen.org/handle/20.500.12657/102508>
- Tampubolon, Mangatur, and Bens Nadeak. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence and Understanding of Religion: A Moral Perspective*. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 11(2), 91–97. <http://repository.uki.ac.id/16988/1/ArtificialIntelligenceandUnderstandingofReligion.pdf>
- Trotta, Susanna, Deborah Iannotti, and Boris Rähme. (2024). *Religious Actors and Artificial Intelligence: Examples from the Field*. *Religion and Development*, 1–15. <https://brill.com/view/journals/rnd/aop/article-10.30965-27507955-20230027/article-10.30965-27507955-20230027.xml>
- Tsuria, Rachel, and Yoni Tsuria. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence's Understanding of Religion: Investigating the Moralistic Approaches Presented by Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools*. *Religions*, 15(3), 373–381. <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1444/15/3/375>
- Verdonk, Lucien, and Shuang Wei. (2022). *Spiritual Intelligence vs Artificial Intelligence: Risks and Remedies*. *AI & Society*, 37(4), 543–560.
- Vestrucci, Alessandro. (2022). *Artificial Intelligence and Religion: Recent Advances and Future Directions*. *Zygon: Journal of Religion and Science*, 57(2), 203–220. <https://fis.uni-bamberg.de/bitstreams/e7b64b0a-a436-4c56-9964-8f58fc397869/download>