ABUAD INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES

ISSN: 2955-1021 AIJNAS 2021, Volume 1, Issue 1, pp 8–17 https://doi.org/10.53982/aijnas.2021.0101.02-j Copyright ©2021 https://journals.abuad.edu.ng/index.php/aijnas



## Gravimetric Profile of Hydrocarbon Degrading Bacterial and Fungal Isolates from Contaminated Soil Samples in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria

\*Olayinka O. IDRIS, Olayinka T. OGUNMEFUN, and Cinderella N. TUESIMI

Department of Biological Sciences, College of Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria \*Corresponding author: <u>idrisoo@abuad.edu.ng</u>

#### Abstract

One of the biological compounds limiting soil water retention capacity is oil when present due to its hydro-nature. However, some microorganisms exhibit the capacity to degrade oil as a source of carbon, whereby the soil quality is retained and enhanced. Hence, the gravimetric profile of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria and fungi isolated from oil contaminated soil samples was investigated. Soil samples were collected from surface and 10m depth from six different mechanic workshops and generator sites. The pour plate technique was used to isolate the microorganisms. All pure isolates were sub-cultured using Bushnell Haas agar and the isolated bacteria were identified by their morphological and biochemical characteristics. The soil samples pH range was 4.3 - 6.4. Bacteria isolated included Pseudomonas spp., Staphylococcus spp., Microccocus spp., Acinetobacter spp., and Bacillus spp. The fungi isolated included Aspergillus spp., Rhizopus spp., Candida spp., Trichoderma spp. and Penicillium spp. Degradation of kerosene, diesel, crude oil, engine oil, and spent engine oil was allowed using Acinetobacter baumanni, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, and S. aureus. Gravimetric analyses were used to determine the percentage of petroleum hydrocarbon degraded by bacterial isolates. The highest percentage of degradation was between P. aeruginosa and B. subtilis. Pseudomonas aeruginosa degraded 97.4% diesel, 88.2% kerosene, 71.3% crude oil, 80.7% engine oil and 72.6% spent engine oil. This study revealed that bacterial and fungal isolates from oil contaminated soils exhibited the potentials to degrade oil and bioremediation using these microorganisms was possible.

Keywords: Hydrocarbon, Contaminated soils, Bacteria, Fungi, Degradation, Gravimetric analyses

#### INTRODUCTION

nvironmental pollution caused by petroleum products is an unavoidable outcome of the production, transportation, and distribution of oil. The likelihood of land contamination is brought about by a large volume of petroleum products processed on land yearly in addition to large volumes of crude and refined petroleum products being transported all over the world from production sites to various countries for their usage (Ohanmu et al., 2019). The widespread contamination of arable lands, swamps, creeks, and natural sources of water with petroleum and petrochemical products particularly in the region of Southern Nigeria, is largely because of increasing petroleum exploration, refining, and other associated industrial activities (Okpokwasili and Odokuma, 1996; Okpokwasili and Odokuma, 1990). An increase in the world's population has led to an increase in petroleum and petroleum products' demand; this has become an avenue for pollution to

the environment (Akoachere et al., 2008).

Soil contamination by oil spills which most times require cleaning up of such contaminated sites poses an environmental problem in Nigeria (Bundy et al., 2002). The release of petroleum products into lakes, streams, rivers, beaches, oceans, seas, and lands is called oil spillage. This is poisonous resulting in water and land becoming fouled and threatening the rich coastal habitat. The main constituents of petroleum oil spills and refined product molecules will be gradually diminished from the environment as they are biodegradable with microorganisms utilizing them for their metabolic activities (Prince, 2002). Due to these habitats being contaminated, it poses major risks to public health and socio-economy leading to a joint attempt in surveying the practicability of removing oil contaminants by making use of organic and inorganic wastes (Akoachere et al., 2008; Adenipekun and Isikhuemhen, 2008). Under certain conditions, crude oil may flow without control onto the earth's solid surface thereby causing significant risk to the environment. These cases are mostly attributed to oil spills and by-products during oil exploration, processing, and transportation. Microorganisms occurring naturally in crude oil are often used to remove this type of pollution because of their ability to break down (biodegrade) crude oil and by-products (Wolicka and Borkowski, 2011; Wolicka et al., 2009; Nazina et al., 2007; Mokhatab, 2006). The decomposition potential by microorganisms (biodegradation) of petroleum compounds can, however, be said to be weak when compared to the biological carbon cycle in most organic molecules (Bertrand et al., 1990). Hundreds of various hydrocarbon molecules form the major compositions of crude oil, of which alkanes from C1 to C40 straight chain are major; cyclohexanes, aromatics, C6-C8 branched-chain, and sulphur, oxygen and nitrogen containing compounds (Stafford et al., 1982). The isolation of a high number of particular microorganisms with oil-degrading abilities from environments polluted with oil usually serves as proof that these microorganisms are the main decomposers (bio-degraders) of the environmental pollutants (Adegbola et al., 2014).

Pollution caused by crude oil and by-products from the soil, groundwater, and seawater found close to exploration sites, leaking pipelines, and in various locations including petrol stations or roadsides are removed by microorganisms activities. Biodegradation of oil-derived products in the soil is influenced by basic factors that include chemical structure, concentration and toxicity of hydrocarbons to the microflora, microbiological soil potential (biomass concentration, population variability, enzyme activity), physicalchemical environmental parameters (such as reaction, temperature, organic matter content, humidity), and availability of hydrocarbons for microorganisms cells. Various groups of microorganisms, especially the bacteria indigenous to the soil help in degrading sites contaminated with hydrocarbons. Many strains of Pseudomonas isolates from aquifers and soil can degrade Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHS) (Wong et al., 2001). Other petroleum hydrocarbons degrading bacteria include Alcaligens spp., Bacillus spp., Corynebacterium spp., Flavobacterium spp., Micrococcus spp. and Streptococcus spp. (Saadoun, 2002). Also, fungi are capable of degrading hydrocarbon to a certain extent.

This study was aimed at investigating the gravimetric profile of hydrocarbon degrading bacterial and fungal isolates from contaminated soil samples collected from mechanic workshops and generator sites in Ado-Ekiti.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Sample collection, isolation, and identification of microorganisms

Soil samples were collected from three different mechanic workshops and three generator sites located within Ado-Ekiti between January to April 2019. The surface and 10 cm depth soil were collected from each site with separate clean polyethylene bags. Samples of uncontaminated soil adjacent to the mechanic workshops and generator sites were collected as control and transported to the Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Biological Sciences, Afe Babalola University, where they were analyzed. The culture media used included nutrient agar, nutrient broth, potato dextrose agar, and Bushnell Haas agar. The media preparation was according to the instructions by the manufacturer and was sterilized at 121°C for 15 minutes. Ten (10) grams of the contaminated and uncontaminated soil (control) samples each were suspended in 90 ml of sterile distilled water as diluents and tenfold serial dilutions of the soil samples from 1:10 to 1:100000 were carried out; 0.1ml of the  $10^{-3}$ , 10<sup>-4</sup>, 10<sup>-5</sup> for each sample was plated in triplicate on nutrient agar and potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates with amoxicillin to inhibit bacterial growth using pour plate methods. The nutrient agar plates were incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours while the potato dextrose agar plates were incubated in a cupboard at room temperature for 72 hours. The number of viable microorganisms in the plates was calculated from the number of colonies formed and the dilution factor; expressed as colony forming unit per ml (cfu/ml). Standard microbiological protocols were used in the identification of bacterial and fungal isolates.

## Hydrocarbon utilization by bacterial isolates

Bushnell Haas agar, a selective medium for hydrocarbon degrading bacteria was used which consisted of 1.0 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, I.0 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1.0 g NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, 0.2 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.05 g FeCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.02 g CaCl<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, and 15 g agar. The components were added to distilled water and brought to a volume of 990ml, mixed thoroughly, and heated in a water bath to boiling before sterilizing at 121 °C for 15 minutes. The agar was dispensed into Petri dishes and allowed to set. Twenty-four hours old culture of bacterial isolates was streaked on the plates and incubated at 37°C for 5 days. Selective colonies were taken for culture in nutrient agar. To further test for hydrocarbon utilization, 100 ml each of the different hydrocarbons including diesel, crude oil, spent engine oil, engine oil, and kerosene were introduced into 300 ml of sterilized nutrient agar respectively in conical flasks, mixed thoroughly, poured on plates, and incubated at 37°C for 4 days.

#### Hydrocarbon utilization by fungal isolates

Using sterilized cock borer, pure fungal colonies were placed on the Bushnell Haas medium and kept at room temperature for 7 days. Fungi were also introduced to the potato dextrose agar plates containing different hydrocarbons to check for growth.

#### **Biodegradation tests**

The ability of microorganisms isolated from hydrocarbon contaminated soil to degrade hydrocarbon was tested by introducing spent oil, engine oil, crude oil, kerosene, and diesel to 100 mL of sterilized Nutrient Broth medium in 250 mL flasks. The flasks were inoculated with microorganisms and incubated at 37°C under aerobic condition at 200 rpm in a shaking incubator for 14 days (Jyothi *et al.*, 2012).

### Gravimetric analysis

The oil degradation profile of the isolates was studied using the gravimetric method. The flasks were taken out following the desired time interval, and 1% 1N-HCL was added to stop bacterial activities. Oil extraction was carried out using the mixture of 50 mL culture broth, 20 mL petroleum ether, and acetone in a ratio of 1:1 in a separating funnel was shaken vigorously to get a single emulsified layer. Acetone was subsequently added and mixed gently to break the emulsification, which resulted in three layers (Bharti and Irfan, 2011). The top layer, a mixture of petroleum ether, oil, and acetone; clumping cells make a middle layer and the bottom aqueous layer contains acetone, water, and biosurfactant in soluble form. The two lower layers were spread out while the top layer containing petroleum ether mixed with oil and acetone was taken in a pre-weighed beaker. The extracted oil was passed through anhydrous sodium sulphate to remove moisture. The petroleum ether and acetone were evaporated on a water bath. The gravimetric analysis of residual oil left after biodegradation was obtained by weighing the quantity of oil in a tarred beaker. The percentage of degradation was calculated as follows:

Weight of Residual oil = Weight of beaker containing extracted oil - Weight of empty beaker.

Amount of crude oil degraded = Weight of oil added - Weight of residual oil.

 $\frac{\text{Amount of oil degraded media}}{\text{Oil added in media}} \xrightarrow{\text{X 100}} (\text{Guru et al., 2013})$ 

## Statistical analysis

All the data obtained were subjected to Statistical analyses using analysis of variance (ANOVA) using

a computer-aided SPSS (V.23) statistical program. All the means and standard deviations were separated and compared using the Duncan Multiple Range Test at 5% level of significance.

### RESULTS

The bacterial and fungal isolates from six sample sites which included three mechanic workshops (A, B, and C) and three generator sites (D, E, and F) with dilution factors  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ , and  $10^{-5}$  were identified using standard microbiological methods. Table 1 showed the frequency of occurrence of bacterial isolates from hydrocarbon contaminated soil samples. A sum of 36 identified bacterial isolates were obtained from the hydrocarbon contaminated soil samples. The most frequently occurred bacterial isolates were Acinetobacter baumannii 7 (24%) followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa 6 (21%) while the least occurring bacterial isolates were Aeromonas veronii, Jannibacter terrae, Acinetobacter ursingii and Bacillus spp. with 1 (3%) respectively.

Table 2 showed the growth and utilization of hydrocarbon by bacterial isolates from mechanic workshops and generator sites. The bacterial isolates that had the ability to utilize hydrocarbon as a carbon source included Acinetobacter baumannii, Acinetobacter ursingii, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus megaterium, Escherichia coli, Micrococcus luteus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus epidermidis, and Staphylococcus aureus. However Aeromonas veronii and Jannibacter terrae were unable to grow on oil agar hence unable to utilize hydrocarbon as a carbon source. The growth and utilization of hydrocarbon by fungal isolates from mechanic workshops and generator sites are showed in Table 3. The fungal isolates from mechanic workshops and generator sites included Trichoderma spp., Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus parasiticus, Aspergillus niger, Rhizopus spp., Rhizopus oryzae, Fusarium spp., Mucor spp., Candida spp., Geotrichium spp., Penicillium spp. and Trichophyton spp. All the fungal isolates had the ability to utilize hydrocarbon as a carbon source except Fusarium spp., Mucor spp., Geotrichium spp., and Trichophyton spp.

The heavy metal composition of hydrocarbon samples are revealed in Table 4. Statistical analysis at  $p \le 0.05$  showed that for calcium, crude oil had the highest value of  $386.53 \pm 0.4256$  while kerosene had the lowest value of  $2.08 \pm 0.0928$ . Magnesium present in petroleum products, crude oil had the highest value of  $14.36 \pm 0.0232$  while kerosene had the lowest ( $0.17 \pm 0.0049$ ). Crude oil had the highest value of Iron with  $58.62 \pm 0.0489$  while engine oil had the lowest value

of  $0.14 \pm 0.0057$ . Manganese present in used engine oil was more compared to other samples having a value of  $974.24 \pm 0.0803$  while kerosene had the lowest value of  $4.39 \pm 0.3586$ . The highest value of Lead was found in crude oil with  $1.3 \pm 0.0041$  while the lowest was engine oil with  $0.26 \pm 0.0058$ . Nickel in the products was more in used engine oil having  $7.46 \pm 0.0525$  but lesser in engine oil with a value of  $0.13 \pm 0.0054$ . Table 5 showed the physicochemical characteristics of the hydrocarbon samples. Statistical analysis at  $p \le 0.05$ showed that kerosene had a pH of  $6.10 \pm 0.0577$  and a temperature of  $26.25 \pm 0.1443$ , Crude oil had a pH of  $8.50 \pm 0.0289$  and a temperature of  $27.20 \pm 0.0577$ , diesel had a pH of  $5.17 \pm 0.0058$  and a temperature of  $26.80 \pm 0.0577$ , used engine oil had a temperature of  $28.00 \pm 0.0577$  while engine oil had a temperature of  $27.40 \pm 0.0577.$ 

The percentage of degradation of different petroleum hydrocarbon by bacterial isolates are showed in Table 6. The highest percentage of degradation was between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* degraded 97.4% of diesel 78.2% of spent engine oil, 88.2% of kerosene, 80.7% of engine oil, and 71.3% of crude oil. *Bacillus subtilis* degraded 89.6% of crude oil, 87% of engine oil, 71% of diesel, 72.6% of spent engine oil, and 97% of kerosene. Table 7 showed the physicochemical composition of contaminated and uncontaminated soil samples. Statistical analysis at  $p \le 0.05$  showed that the pH value in the control (uncontaminated soil sample) was 6.92  $\pm$  0.18 significantly different from the contaminated samples. The product with the lowest pH value was crude oil with  $4.87 \pm 0.01$ , while the highest pH value was oil and grease with  $6.12 \pm 0.00$ . For total Nitrogen statistical analysis at  $p \le 0.05$  showed a significant difference from the control (uncontaminated soil sample) which was  $0.26 \pm 0.00$ . Crude oil and Diesel had the lowest value of Nitrogen as  $0.10 \pm 0.00$ , while the highest value was in the oil and grease having 0.15  $\pm$  0.01. For total organic Carbon, statistical analysis at  $p \le 0.05$  also showed a significant difference from the control (uncontaminated soil sample) which was  $4.01 \pm 0.12$ . Oil and grease had the lowest value of organic carbon (1.93  $\pm$  0.03), while the highest value was in crude oil having  $3.46 \pm 0.01$ . For oil and grease, they were significantly different from the control (uncontaminated soil sample) which was  $14.93 \pm 0.357$ . The lowest value of oil and grease was found in Diesel with  $394.86 \pm 3.10$ , while the highest value was found in crude oil having  $851.43 \pm 3.12$ .

| S/N | <b>Bacterial isolates</b>  | Frequency | Percentage     |  |
|-----|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|--|
|     |                            |           | Occurrence (%) |  |
| 1   | Staphylococcus epidermidis | 2         | 7              |  |
| 2   | Aeromonas veronii          | 1         | 3              |  |
| 3   | Bacillus megaterium        | 3         | 10             |  |
| 4   | Escherichia coli           | 2         | 7              |  |
| 5   | Acinetobacter baumannii    | 7         | 24             |  |
| 6   | Jannibacter terrae         | 1         | 3              |  |
| 7   | Pseudomonas aeruginosa     | 6         | 21             |  |
| 8   | Bacillus subtilis          | 5         | 17             |  |
| 9   | Klebsiella pneumonia       | 2         | 7              |  |
| 10  | Micrococcus luteus         | 3         | 10             |  |
| 11  | Acinetobacter ursingii     | 1         | 3              |  |
| 12  | Bacillus spp.              | 1         | 3              |  |
| 13  | Staphylococcus aureus      | 2         | 7              |  |

| Table 1: Frequency of occurrence | of bacterial isolate | s from hydrocarbon | contaminated soil samples             |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                  |                      |                    | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

#### Table 2: Growth of bacterial isolates from mechanic workshops and generator sites on oil agar

| Sample code | Bacterial isolates from mechanic<br>workshops | Growth on oil<br>agar | Sample code | Bacterial isolates from generator sites | Growth on oil aga |
|-------------|---|-----------------------|-------------|---|-------------------|
| As-3        | Pseudomonas aeruginosa                        | +                     | Ds-3        | Bacillus subtilis                       | +                 |
| As-4        | Acinetobacter ursingii                        | +                     | Ds-4        | Acinetobacter baumannii                 | +                 |
| As-5        | Micrococcus luteus                            | +                     | Ds-5        | Micrococcus luteus                      | +                 |
| Ad-3        | Acinetobacter baumannii                       | +                     | Dd-3        | Klebsiella pneumoniae                   | +                 |
| Ad-4        | Acinetobacter baumannii                       | +                     | Dd-4        | Bacillus megaterium                     | +                 |
| Ad-5        | Staphylococcus aureus                         | +                     | Dd-5        | Micrococcus luteus                      | +                 |
| Bs-3        | Staphylococcus epidermidis                    | +                     | Es-3        | Staphylococcus epidermidis              | +                 |
| Bs-4        | Pseudomonas aeruginosa                        | +                     | Es-4        | Aeromonas veronii                       | -                 |
| Bs-5        | Bacillus megaterium                           | +                     | Es-5        | Bacillus megaterium                     | +                 |
| Bd-3        | Bacillus subtilis                             | +                     | Ed-3        | Escherichia coli                        | +                 |
| Bd-4        | Pseudomonas aeruginosa                        | +                     | Ed-4        | Acinetobacter baumannii                 | +                 |
| Bd-5        | Bacillus spp.                                 | +                     | Ed-5        | Jannibacter terrae                      | -                 |
| Cs-3        | Acinetobacter baumannii                       | +                     | Fs-3        | Escherichia coli                        | +                 |
| Cs-4        | Pseudomonas aeruginosa                        | +                     | Fs-4        | Pseudomonas aeruginosa                  | +                 |
| Cs-5        | Staphylococcus aureus                         | +                     | Fs-5        | Bacillus subtilis                       | +                 |
| Cd-3        | Acinetobacter baumannii                       | +                     | Fd-3        | Klebsiella pneumoniae                   | +                 |
| Cd-4        | Bacillus subtilis                             | +                     | Fd-4        | Bacillus subtilis                       | +                 |
| Cd-5        | Pseudomonas aeruginosa                        | +                     | Fd-5        | Escherichia coli                        | +                 |

#### Keys:

+ = Growth; - = No growth; s - Surface soil sample; d - Depth (10m) soil sample

As-3 - Ad-5: Bacterial isolates from mechanic workshop 1

Bs-3 - Bd-5: Bacterial isolates from mechanic workshop 2

Cs-3 - Cd-5: Bacterial isolates from mechanic workshop 3

Ds-3 - Dd-5: Bacterial isolates from generator site 1

Es-3 - Ed-5: Bacterial isolates from generator site 2

Fs-3 - Fd-5: Bacterial isolates from generator site 3

#### Table 3: Growth of fungal isolates from mechanic workshops and generator sites on oil agar

| Sample code | Fungal isolates from mechanic workshops | Growth on oil | Sample code | Fungal isolates from generator | Growth on oil agar |
|-------------|---|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
|             |   | agar          |             | sites                          |                    |
| As-3        | Trichoderma spp                         | +             | Ds-3        | Mucor spp                      | -                  |
| As-4        | Aspergillus flavus                      | +             | Ds-4        | Candida spp                    | +                  |
| As-5        | Aspergillus niger                       | +             | Ds-5        | Geotrichum spp                 | -                  |
| Ad-3        | Trichoderma spp                         | +             | Dd-3        | Candida spp                    | +                  |
| Ad-4        | Aspergillus parasiticus                 | +             | Dd-4        | Aspergillus flavus             | +                  |
| Ad-5        | Aspergillus flavus                      | +             | Dd-5        | Aspergillus flavus             | +                  |
| Bs-3        | Aspergillus niger                       | +             | Es-3        | Aspergillus niger              | +                  |
| Bs-4        | Rhizopus spp                            | +             | Es-4        | Penicillium spp                | +                  |
| Bs-5        | Aspergillus parasiticus                 | +             | Es-5        | Trichoderma spp                | +                  |
| Bd-3        | Aspergillus parasiticus                 | +             | Ed-3        | Aspergillus niger              | +                  |
| Bd-4        | Rhizopus spp                            | +             | Ed-4        | Penicillium spp                | +                  |
| Bd-5        | Aspergillus flavus                      | +             | Ed-5        | Penicillium spp                | +                  |
| Cs-3        | Rhizopus oryzae                         | +             | Fs-3        | Penicillium spp                | +                  |
| Cs-4        | Fusarium spp                            | +             | Fs-4        | Trichophyton spp               | -                  |
| Cs-5        | Trichoderma spp                         | +             | Fs-5        | Geotrichum spp                 | -                  |
| Cd-3        | Rhizopus spp                            | +             | Fd-3        | Rhizopus oryzae                | +                  |
| Cd-4        | Fusarium spp                            | -             | Fd-4        | Penicillium spp                | +                  |
| Cd-5        | Aspergillus flavus                      | +             | Fd-5        | Candida spp                    | +                  |

Keys:

+ = Growth; - = No growth; s - Surface soil sample; d - Depth (10m) soil sample

As-3 - Ad-5: Fungal isolates from mechanic workshop 1

Bs-3 - Bd-5: Fungal isolates from mechanic workshop 2

Cs-3 - Cd-5: Fungal isolates from mechanic workshop 3

Ds-3 - Dd-5 = Fungal isolates from generator site1

Es-3 - Ed-5 = Fungal isolates from generator site 2

Fs-3 - Fd-5 = Fungal isolates from generator site 3

Official Journal of College of Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria.

| Hydrocarbon samples      | Calcium             | Magnesium         | Iron               | Manganese           | Lead              | Nickel            |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Kerosene                 | $2.08 \pm 0.0928$   | 0.17 ± 0.0049     | $1.35 \pm 0.0513$  | $4.39 \pm 0.3586$   | $0.27 \pm 0.0055$ | $2.06 \pm 0.0476$ |
| Crude Oil                | $386.53 \pm 0.4256$ | $14.36\pm0.0232$  | $58.62 \pm 0.0489$ | $18.44\pm0.0529$    | $1.3 \pm 0.0041$  | $5.16 \pm 0.0617$ |
| Diesel                   | $64.00 \pm 0.3215$  | $4.03\pm0.0546$   | $6.46\pm0.0365$    | $13.51 \pm 0.0564$  | $0.81\pm0.0023$   | $1.61\pm0.0041$   |
| Used/Spent Engine<br>Oil | $7.33\pm0.0882$     | $3.31 \pm 0.0568$ | $35.17\pm0.0328$   | $974.24 \pm 0.0803$ | $1.09 \pm 0.0234$ | $7.46 \pm 0.0525$ |
| Engine Oil               | $3.60\pm0.1157$     | $1.12\pm0.0436$   | $0.14\pm0.0057$    | $121.42 \pm 0.3990$ | $0.26\pm0.0058$   | $0.13\pm0.0054$   |

Table 5: Physicochemical characteristics of hydrocarbon samples

| Hydrocarbon samples   | рН                | Temperature (°C)   | Colour        |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Kerosene              | $6.10 \pm 0.0577$ | $26.25 \pm 0.1443$ | Colourless    |
| Crude oil             | $8.50 \pm 0.0289$ | $27.20 \pm 0.0577$ | Darkish Brown |
| Diesel                | $5.17 \pm 0.0058$ | $26.80 \pm 0.0577$ | Amber         |
| Used/Spent Engine Oil |                   | $28.00 \pm 0.0577$ | Black         |
| Engine Oil            |                   | $27.40 \pm 0.0577$ | Amber         |

Table 6: Gravimetric analysis of petroleum hydrocarbon treated with bacterial isolates

| Hydrocarbon<br>samples | Pseudomonas<br>aeruginosa (% | Bacillus subtilis | Staphylococcus aureus | Acinetobacter<br>baumannii (% |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| r r                    | Degraded)                    | (% Degraded)      | (% Degraded)          | Degraded)                     |
| Diesel                 | 97.4                         | 71                | 56.1                  | 63.6                          |
| Kerosene               | 88.2                         | 97                | 51.4                  | 58.9                          |
| Crude oil              | 71.3                         | 89.6              | 44.2                  | 52                            |
| Engine oil             | 80.7                         | 87                | 47.4                  | 47.3                          |
| Used/Spent engine oil  | 78.2                         | 72.6              | 52.8                  | 36                            |

## Table 7: Physicochemical analysis of contaminated and uncontaminated soil samples

| Hydrocarbon samples          | Total Nitrogen  | <b>Total Organic Carbon</b> | Oil and Grease    | рН              |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Control                      | $0.26\pm0.00$   | $4.01 \pm 0.12$             | $14.93\pm0.357$   | $6.92\pm0.18$   |
| (Uncontaminated soil sample) |                 |                             |                   |                 |
| Kerosene                     | $0.13 \pm 0.00$ | $2.35\pm0.09$               | $512.97 \pm 0.21$ | $5.63 \pm 0.01$ |
| Crude oil                    | $0.10\pm0.00$   | $2.49\pm0.16$               | $851.43\pm3.12$   | $4.87\pm0.01$   |
| Diesel                       | $0.10\pm0.00$   | $3.46\pm0.01$               | $394.86\pm3.10$   | $6.10\pm0.03$   |
| Used/spent engine oil        | $0.14\pm0.00$   | $3.26\pm0.03$               | $427.32\pm0.28$   | $5.29\pm0.02$   |
| Engine oil                   | $0.11\pm0.00$   | $2.72\pm0.02$               | $767.45\pm0.73$   | $4.93\pm0.01$   |
| Oil and grease               | $0.15\pm0.01$   | $1.93\pm0.03$               | $529.37\pm3.17$   | $6.12\pm0.00$   |

## DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown that oil degrading bacterial isolates and other microorganisms are best isolated from oil contaminated soil (Vigneshpriya et al., 2017). Microbiological and biochemical tests carried out on the samples determined the population and types of heterogeneous microorganisms found in the contaminated soil samples. The fungal and bacterial isolates were identified (Larone and Ryan, 2003; Bergey et al., 1984). The ability of bacterial isolates to utilize hydrocarbon as a carbon source was studied in Aeromonas veronii, Acinetobacter baumannii, Acinetobacter ursingii, Bacillus cereus, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus megaterium, Escherichia coli. Micrococcus luteus. Jannibacter terrae. Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus epidermidis, *Staphylococcus* aureus, etc. of which Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii were the most frequent.

The ability of fungal isolates to utilize hydrocarbon as a carbon source was studied in Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus parasiticus, Candida spp., Penicillium spp., Rhizopus spp., Rhizopus oryzae, and Trichoderma spp. which exhibited hydrocarbon degrading abilities by their growth on the oil agar. Out of all the fungal isolates studied in a similar study, Penicillium citrinum was observed to have the highest ability to efficiently biodegrade crude oil (Barnes et al., 2018). However, Fusarium spp., Mucor spp., Trichophyton spp., and Geotrichum spp. did not grow on the oil agar which indicated their inability to degrade or utilize hydrocarbon as a carbon source. Most of the identified bacterial and fungal isolates were able to utilize oil as a carbon source and degrade hydrocarbon. The nutrient status of the contaminated soil produced a higher count of bacterial than fungal isolates and also, the presence of toxic components does not favor fungal growth (Ijah and Antai, 2003).

The contaminated soil from the mechanic workshops was brown, clay-like and moist, brown, sandy, and had large particle size while that of the generator sites were black, sandy, and dry while others were gray, sandy, and moist. Soil contamination due to hydrocarbon reduces its bulk density thereby accelerates the porosity of such soil (Atlas and Cerniglia, 1995). Bacillus spp. was predominant in this study and is similar to the report of the study by Ijah and Antai (2003) where Bacillus spp. was reported as the most frequent isolate of all the crude oil utilizing bacteria, characterized by highly polluted soil samples. Also, a direct relationship between Bacillus cereus cell growth and crude oil biodegradation was reported in a previous study (Abdulla et al., 2019). Similarly, Latha and Kalaivani (2011) reported that Gram-positive

Bacillus species were effective engine oil-degrading bacteria. The presence of the peptidoglycan cell wall helps Bacillus spp. tolerate a high concentration of engine oil. Bacillus (rod) species are known as the most effective petroleum hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria (Latha and Kalaivani, 2012; Hamza et al., 2010). Rahman et al. (2002) postulated that Bacillus spp. were tolerant to high levels of hydrocarbons in soil due to their resistant endospores. Among the Gram-negative organisms isolated were Pseudomonas spp. and Aeromonas spp. The cell membrane of Gram-negative rods contains an additional efflux pump that ensures the removal of metabolites from the cell to prevent saturation of waste products by ensuring the excretion and removal of metabolites from the cell to prevent feedback inhibition; this accounts for Pseudomonas spp. being adjudged as the best petroleum hydrocarbon degraders. Most bacterial isolates were able to utilize the different hydrocarbons introduced in varying amounts due to the differences in their competence; that is, the capacity to degrade hydrocarbons. The bacterial isolates that did not grow on the Bushnell Haas agar (which is a selective medium for growing hydrocarbon degrading organisms) included Aeromonas veronii and Jannibacter terrae while other bacterial species grew on the selective medium proving their hydrocarbon degrading abilities.

The number of heterogeneous bacteria was observed to be higher than oil degrading bacteria in the media. The increase in microbial count after initial decrease could indicate the adaptation of the microorganisms to the environment that is bacteria degrading activities of contaminated soil samples could have stimulated the growth of the adapted organisms; a similar case was reported by Okoh (2006). The presence of Pseudomonas spp. in soil was in line with the work of Panda et al. (2013) who reported that Pseudomonas is a naturally occurring potent oil degrading bacteria. Heavy metal analysis in petroleum samples revealed the presence of calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese, lead, and nickel in varying quantities. It was observed that the presence of a contaminant like petroleum hydrocarbon accumulated more heavy metals and also has a drastic negative effect on the texture of the soil and environmental hazards associated with heavy metals (Szulc et al., 2014).

The level of oil *degradation* by *Pseudomonas* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Micrococcus* spp., *Acinetobacter* spp., and *Bacillus* spp. was determined using gravimetric analysis. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus subtilis* were observed from the result to be more efficient oil degraders. Dayamrita *et al.* (2020) had previously reported a biosurfactant producing *Bacillus* spp. as an efficient degrader of crude oil. *Pseudomonas* 

aeruginosa and Bacillus subtilis are known to possess a more competent and active hydrocarbon degrading enzyme system than Staphylococcus spp., Micrococcus spp. and Acinetobacter spp. They are fast-growing and can degrade a wide variety of organic compounds (Ijah and Okang, 1993). Staphylococcus spp., Micrococcus spp., and Acinetobacter spp. have been observed to have the property and considerable efficiencies to be used as an oil degrader, but it requires more time and in larger amount than Pseudomonas spp. and Bacillus spp. The use of such organisms is useful in biological processes such as bioremediation which consists of bioaugmentation strategies; this usually lowers the cost as when compared with chemical treatment processes for various contamination sites (Nrior and Jirigwa, 2017; Cattaneo et al., 1997).

## Conclusion

This study showed that bacterial and fungal isolates from soils contaminated with oil exhibited the potentials to degrade oil and the bioremediation process using microorganisms was made possible. The bioremediation process using microorganisms is effective and also cost-efficient compared to chemical methods; although it takes a longer period and requires the microorganisms in large quantities. Also, changes in soil parameters such as structure, texture, total Nitrogen present, and increase in the concentration of heavy metals were found in the oil contaminated soil which has been reported to be toxic to life forms.

## **Conflict of interests**

The authors' declare that there is no conflict of interest of any kind about this research.

### Authors' contribution

Conceptualization: Olayinka O. Idris and Cinderella N. Tuesimi

Design: Olayinka O. Idris and Cinderella N. Tuesimi Execution: Cinderella N. Tuesimi

Interpretation: Olayinka O. Idris, Olayinka T. Ogunmefun and Cinderella N. Tuesimi

Writing the paper: Olayinka O. Idris and Olayinka T. Ogunmefun

## Acknowledgment

The authors hereby acknowledge Mr. Mabayoje Sam, the technologist in the Department of Biological Sciences, College of Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria for his assistance during the laboratory processes of the study.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdulla, K. J., Ali, S. A., Gatea, I. H., Hameed, N. A. and Maied, S. K. (2019). Bio-degradation of crude oil using local bacterial isolates. International Conference on Agricultural Sciences (IOP) Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 388 012081. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/388/1/012081
- Adegbola, G. M., Eniola, K. I. T. and Opasola, O. A. (2014). Isolation and identification of indigenous hydrocarbon tolerant bacteria from soil contaminated with used engine oil in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, 5(3): 420-422.
- Adenipekun, C. O. and Isikhuemhen, O. S. (2008). Bioremediation of Engine Oil Polluted Soil by the Tropical White Rot Fungus, Lentinus squarrosulus Mont. (Singer). *Pakistan Journal* of Biological Sciences, 11(12): 1634-1637. doi:10.3923/pjbs.2008.1634.1637
- Akoachere, J. T. K., Akenji, T. N., Yongabi, N. F., Nkwelang, G. and Ndip, R. N. (2008). Lubricating oil-degrading bacteria in soils from filling stations and auto-mechanic workshops in Buea, Cameroon: occurrence and characteristics of isolates. *Africa Journal of Biotechnology*, 7(11): 1700-1706. doi:10.5897/AJB08.734
- Atlas, R. M. and Cerniglia, C.E. (1995). Bioremediation of petroleum pollutants. *Bioscience*, 45: 332-338. doi:10.2307/1312494
- Barnes, N. M., Khodse, V. B., Lotlikar, N. P., Meena, R. M. and Damare, S. R. (2018). Bioremediation potential of hydrocarbon-utilizing fungi from select marine niches of India. 3 Biotechnology, 8(1): 21. doi:10.1007/s13205-017-1043-8
- Bergey, D. H., Krieg, N. R. and Holt, J. G. (1984). Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins.
- Bertrand, J. C., Almallah, M., Acquaviva, M. and Mille G. (1990). Biodegradation of hydrocarbons by an extremely halophilic archaebacterium. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 11(5): 260-263. doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.1990.tb 00176.x
- Bharti, P. and Irfan, M. (2011) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is present in crude oil contaminated soil of Barmer region (India). *Journal of Bioremediation and Biodegradation*, 2(5): 129. doi:10.4172/2155-6199.1000129
- Bundy, J. G., Paton, G. I. and Campbell, C. D. (2002). Microbial Communities in different soil types do not coverage after diesel contamination. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 92(2): 276-288. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01528.x

- Cattaneo, M. V., Masson, C. and Greer, C. W. (1997). The influence of moisture on microbial transport, survival and 2, 4-D biodegradation with a genetically marked *Burkholderia cepacia* in unsaturated soil columns. *Biodegradation*, 8(2):87-96. doi:10.1023/A:1008236401342
- Dayamrita, K. K., Divya, K. K., Sreelakshmi, R., Arjun, E. J. and John, F. (2020). Isolation and characterization of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria from oil contaminated soil – Potential for biosurfactant assisted bioremediation. International Conference on Science and Technology of Advanced Materials: Stam 20. doi:10.1063/5.0017395
- Guru, G. S., Gohel, H. R., Panchal, M. R., Ghosh, S. K. and Braganza, V. B. (2013). Isolation, and enrichment of microbes for degradation of crude oil. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology*, 2(4): 144-147.
- Hamza, A., Rabu, A., Amzy, R. F. H. and Yussoff, N. A. (2010). Isolation and characterization of bacteria degrading Sumandak and South Angsi oils. *Sains Malaysiana*, 39(2): 161-168.
- Ijah, U. J. J. and Antai, S. P. (2003). The Potential use of chicken droppings microorganisms for oil spill remediation. *The Environmentalist*, 23: 89-95. doi:10.1023/A:1022947727324
- Ijah, U. J. J. and Okang, C. N. (1993). Petroleumdegrading Capabilities of Bacteria isolated from Soil. *West African Journal of Biological and Applied Chemistry*, 38(1-4): 9-15.
- Jyothi K., Surendra, B. K., Nancy, C. K. and Amita, K. (2012). Identification and isolation of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria by molecular characterization. *Helix*, 2: 105-111.
- Larone, D. and Ryan, K. J. (2003). Medically Important Fungi: A Guide to Identification, 4th Edition
- Latha, R. and Kalaivani, R. (2012). Bacterial degradation of crude oil by gravimetric analysis. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, 3(5): 2789-2795.
- Mokhatab, S. (2006) Principles of Applied Reservoir Simulation, 3rd Edition. *Petroleum Science and Technology*, 24(12):1485-1486. doi:10.1080/10916460601089020
- Nazina, T. N., Grigor'yan, A. A., Shestakova, N. M., et al. (2007). Microbiological investigations of high temperature horizons of the Kongdian petroleum reservoir in connection with field trial of a biotechnology for enhancement of oil recovery. *Microbiology*, 76:287-296 doi:10.1134/ S0026261707030058
- Nrior, R. and Jirigwa, C. (2017). Comparative bioremediation potential of Mucor racemosus and Paecilomyces variotii on crude oil spill site in Gio

Tai, Ogoni land. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT)*, 11(10): 49-57. doi:10.9790/2402-1110014957.

- Ohanmu, E. O., Bako, S. P., Ohanmu, E. and Ohanmu,
  O. O. (2019). Environmental implications,
  properties and attributes of crude oil in the oilproducing states of Nigeria. *Ecologia*, 9(1): 1-9.
  doi: 10.3923/ecologia.2019.1.9
- Okoh, A. I. (2006). Biodegradation alternative in the cleanup of petroleum hydrocarbon pollutants *Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 1(2): 38-50. doi:10.5897/BMBR.9000002
- Okpokwasili, G. C. and Odokuma LO. (1996). Tolerance of Nitrobacter to toxicity of hydrocarbon fuels. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 16:89-93. doi:10.1016/0920-4105(96)00009-5
- Okpokwasili, G. C. and Odokuma, L. O. (1990). Effect of salinity on biodegradation of oil spill dispersant. *Waste Management*, 10 (2): 141-146. doi:10.1016/0956-053X(90)90118-5
- Panda, S. K., Kar, R. N. and Panda, C. R. (2013). Isolation and identification of petroleum hydrocarbon degrading microorganisms from oil contaminated environment. *International Journal* of Environmental Sciences, 3(5): 1314-1321. doi:10.6088/ijes.2013030500001
- Prince, R. (2002). Bioremediation effectiveness: removing hydrocarbons while minimizing environmental impact. Exxon Mobil Research and Engineering. Hand-out at DOE/PERF – Bioremediation Workshop.
- Rahman, K. S. M., Banat, I. M., Thahira, J., Thayamanavan, T. and Lakshmanaperumalsamy, P. (2002). Bioremediation of gasoline contaminated soil by a bacterial consortium amended with poultry litter, coir pith, and rhamnolipid biosurfactant. *Bioresource Technology*, 81(1): 25-32. doi:10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00105-5
- Saadoun, I. (2002). Isolation and characterization of bacteria from crude oil contaminated soil and their potential to degrade diesel fuel. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 42(6): 420-428. doi:10.1002/1521-4028(200212)42: 6<420::AID-JOBM420>3.0.CO;2-W
- Stafford, S., Berwick, P., Hughes, D. E. and Stafford, D. A. (1982). Oil degradation in hydrocarbons and oil stressed environments. pp: 591–612. In Experimental Microbial Ecology, ed. by R. G. Burns and J. H. Sater, Blackwell Scientific, London, U.K.
- Szulc, A., Ambrożewicz, D., Sydow, M., et al. (2014). The influence of bioaugmentation and biosurfactant addition on bioremediation efficiency

of diesel-oil contaminated soil: feasibility during field studies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 132:121–128. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.11.006

- Vigneshpriya, D., Krishnaveni, N. and Renganathan, S. (2017). Marine brown macroalga Sargassum wightii as novel biosorbent for removal of brilliant green dye from aqueous solution: kinetics, equilibrium isotherm modeling and phytotoxicity of treated and untreated dye. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 78: 300–312. doi: 10.5004/ dwt.2017.20884
- Wolicka, D. and Borkowski, A. (2011) Participation of CaCO<sub>3</sub> under Sulphate–reduction condition. Reitner et al., Advances in Stromatolite Geobiology, *Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences*, 131:151-160.
- Wolicka, D., Suszek, A., Borkowski, A. and Bielecka, A. (2009) Application of aerobic microorganisms in bioremediation in situ of soil contaminated by petroleum products, *Bioresource Technology*, 100(13): 3221-3227. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.02.020
- Wong, W. Y., Flik, G., Groenen, P. M., Swinkels, D. W., et al. (2001). The impact of calcium, magnesium, zinc, and copper in blood and seminal plasma on semen parameters in men. *Reproductive Toxicology*, 15(2): 131-136. doi: 10.1016/s0890-6238(01)00113-7